2022 Board Meeting Schedule

January 27, 2022 – Salt Lake City
March 23, 2022 – St. George
May 5, 2022 – Salt Lake City
June 16, 2022 – Salt Lake City
August 4, 2022 – TBA
September 15, 2022 – Salt Lake City
October 27, 2022 – Salt Lake City
December 6, 2022 Salt Lake City
Agenda
Utah Board of Water Resources
Board Briefing Meeting
January 27, 2022
10:00 am

I. WELCOME/CHAIR’S REPORT
   *Chair Kyle Stephens

II. DISCUSSION OF BOARD AGENDA ITEMS
    (See Board Meeting Agenda)

III. INFORMATION TO THE BOARD

IV. OTHER ITEMS TO DISCUSS

“Our Mission is to Plan, Conserve, Develop, and Protect Utah’s Water Resources”
Agenda
Utah Board of Water Resources
Board Meeting
January 27, 2022
10:00 AM Briefing
1:00 PM Board Meeting
Department of Natural Resources Auditorium
1594 W. North Temple, Salt Lake City
Link to presentations and public comment form:
https://water.utah.gov/comments/
Livestream Links:
Briefing Meeting: https://youtu.be/x92qXRuVHio
Board Meeting: https://youtu.be/YAzrDq3OQBM

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

NRCS SNOW REPORT:
Joel Burley

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Proj. Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE438</td>
<td>Midway Irrigation Company</td>
<td>Wasatch</td>
<td>Marisa Egbert</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMMITTAL OF FUNDS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Proj. Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE417</td>
<td>Pocha South Bench Canal &amp; Irr Co (Add Funds)</td>
<td>Summit</td>
<td>Jaqueline Pacheco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL585</td>
<td>Powder Mountain WSID (Add Funds)</td>
<td>Weber</td>
<td>Ben Marett</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPECIAL ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Proj. Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RW001</td>
<td>Price River Watershed Conservation District</td>
<td>Emery</td>
<td>Jaqueline Pacheco</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WATER BANKING APPLICATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Proj. Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE455</td>
<td>Uintah Water Conservancy District</td>
<td>Uintah</td>
<td>Ben Marett</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEW APPLICATIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Proj. Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE455</td>
<td>Uintah Water Conservancy District</td>
<td>Uintah</td>
<td>Ben Marett</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPROVAL OF ARPA FUNDS APPLICATION AND UPDATED RULES:
Joel Williams

NEW AUTOMATED RESERVOIR STORAGE APPLICATION:
Tom Moore

LAKE POWELL PIPELINE REPORT:
Eric Dixon

DIRECTOR’S REPORT:
Candice Hasenyager

ADJOURNMENT
Applicant: Midway Irrigation Company

Project Number: RE438
Fund: Conservation and Development Fund
Total Cost: $1,095,000

Application Received: 4/10/2020
Authorized: 6/17/2021
Board Meeting Date: 1/27/2022

Board Member: Wayne Andersen
Project Manager: Marisa Egbert

Project Summary: The purpose of the project is to install 750 secondary meters, along with the required software, throughout the company's service area.

Recommendation: Staff recommends the board commit 44.8% of the project cost, up to $490,000, and that the project be purchased at 1% interest over 15 years, with annual payments of approximately $35,400.

Project Contacts:
President: Steve Farrell
PO Box 404
Midway, UT 84049
801-541-2974

Secretary: Mike Kohler
PO Box 404
Midway, UT 84049-0404
801-420-6158

Engineer: Brandon Nielsen, PE
JUB Engineers
466 N 900 W
Kaysville, UT 84037
801-547-0393
Location
The proposed project is located in and around Midway in Wasatch County.

Project Summary
The purpose of the project is to install 750 secondary meters, along with the required software, throughout the company’s service area. This project will ensure that all secondary residential connections in the service area are metered.

Cost Estimate & Sharing
The cost estimate and sharing remain as authorized:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Authorized Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Water Resources</td>
<td>$490,000</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaterSMART WEEG</td>
<td>$517,750</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>$87,250</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,095,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repayment
The company has received a WaterSMART Water and Energy Efficiency Grant (WEEG) from the US Bureau of Reclamation.

Staff recommends the board commit 44.8% of the project cost, up to $490,000, and that the project be purchased at 1% interest over 15 years, with annual payments of approximately $35,400.
Applicant: Peoa South Bench Canal & Irrigation Company

Project Number: RE417
Fund: Revolving Construction and Conservation and Development Funds
Total Cost: $3,935,000

Application Received: 10/9/2018
Authorized: 1/31/2019
Committed: 8/6/2020
Reauthorization & Additional Funds: 3/17/2021
Board Meeting Date: 1/27/2022

Board Member: Kyle Stephens
Project Manager: Jaqueline Pacheco

Project Summary: The purpose of the project is to replace approximately 3.3 miles of canal with pressurized pipeline, construct a new screening structure, and metering station, and replace system laterals.

Recommendation: Staff recommends the board commit an additional $265,000, for a total cost share of 51.4% of project costs, up to $2,023,000. The purchase agreement for the C&D funds will be amended to provide $1,023,000, to be returned at 0.1% interest over 30 years with annual payments of approximately $34,600. The repayment terms for the other $1,000,000 from the Revolving Construction Fund will remain as contracted.

Project Contacts:
President: Dave Lake
P.O. Box 32
Oakley, UT 84055
435-659-0003
Secretary: Sam Turpin
P.O. Box 99
Oakley, UT 84055
801-913-9914
Engineer: Brian Deeter
J-U-B Engineers
466 North 900 West
Kaysville, UT 84037
801-547-0393
Location
The proposed project is located near Oakley City in Summit County.

Project Summary
In August 2020, the board committed funds to replace approximately 3.3 miles of canal with HDPE pressurized pipeline, construct a new screening structure, and metering station. The board reauthorized and committed additional funds in March 2021 due to an expansion of scope, which included system laterals that were originally part of a separate project. At that time, they counted on approximately $1,890,189 in grants; however, that amount was reduced by approximately $203,000. They need additional funds to cover the remainder of the project cost due to the reduction in grants and construction costs being higher than originally estimated.

Cost Estimate & Sharing
The project cost estimate has increased by $92,000, from $3,843,000 to $3,935,000. The committed and proposed cost sharing are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Committed Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Proposed Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Water Resources</td>
<td>$1,758,000</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>$2,023,000</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaterSMART Grant</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah System Optimization Grant</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small System WaterSMART Grant</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRCS EQIP Grant</td>
<td>565,189</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>362,717</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>194,811</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>224,283</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$3,843,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$3,935,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Purchase Agreement
Funds were originally committed to the project under the following terms:

The board provided 45.8% of the project cost, up to $1,758,000. The board provided $1,000,000 from the Revolving Construction Fund to be returned at 0% over 30 years with annual payments of approximately $33,000. In addition, the board provided $758,000 from the C&D fund to be returned at 0.1% interest over 30 years with annual payments of approximately $25,700. The applicant is requesting an additional $265,000 from the board.

Staff recommends the board commit an additional $265,000, for a total cost share of 51.4% of project costs, up to $2,023,000. The purchase agreement for the C&D funds will be amended to provide $1,023,000, to be returned at 0.1% interest over 30 years with annual payments of approximately $34,600. The repayment terms for the other $1,000,000 from the Revolving Construction Fund will remain as contracted.
Applicant: Powder Mountain Water & Sewer ID

Project Number: RL585
Fund: Cities Water Loan Fund
Total Cost: $3,741,000

Application Received: 1/18/2019
Authorized: 3/20/2019
Committed: 8/6/2020
Board Meeting Date: 1/27/2022

Board Member: Kyle Stephens
Project Manager: Ben Marett

Project Summary: The purpose of the project is to install two new booster pump stations, rehabilitate two existing pump stations, and install a spring box and pipeline to connect Pizzle Spring #3 to the eastern pressure zone.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the board commit an additional $1,592,000. The new bonded indebtedness will be returned at 1% interest over 30 years with annual payments of approximately $64,000 (includes reserves).

Project Contacts:
Manager: Roy Watts
298 24th St, Ste 150
Ogden, UT 84401
801-510-2093

Secretary: Carrie Zenger
298 24th St, Ste 150
Ogden, UT 84401
801-983-2727

Engineer: Jim Milligan, P.E.
12401 W 450 E, Building C-2
Draper, UT 84020
801-571-9414
Location
The proposed project is located five miles north of Eden in Weber County.

Project Summary
In its August 6, 2020 meeting, the Board of Water Resources committed $1,588,000 to the applicant for their proposed project. The project included the following:

- Installing a spring box on Pizzle Spring #3 for use in their drinking water system
- Constructing one booster pump station
- Rehabilitating two existing booster pump stations
- Installing a 250,000 gallon underground water storage tank
- Installing the necessary pipeline to connect the spring, booster pumps, and tank to the water distribution system

Construction on the project began fall of 2020 and has proceeded without incident. To date, approximately $1,017,000 of the Board's committed funds have been paid out.

Since construction began, market conditions have shifted the project cost upward. Due in part to the shift in project costs, the applicant would like to request additional funds to cover rising project expenses and adjust the scope of the project. The applicant proposes the following changes to the project:

- Postpone the water storage tank indefinitely (may be constructed at a future date)
- Construct a fourth booster pump station
- Install approximately 4,000 feet of distribution pipe

The additional booster pump station and pipeline will enable the applicant to provide water from Pizzle Spring #3 to the connections on the east side of the service area (The applicant's service area is bisected by an elevation divide into eastern and western pressure zones).

Cost Estimate & Sharing
The updated project cost estimate is shown in the table below.
### Item Description
Item | Description      | Quantity | Unit | Unit Price | Total   |
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
1 | Spring Box      | 1 | LS  | $183,000 | $183,000   |
2 | Pumphouse #1    | 1 | LS  | $885,000 | $885,000   |
3 | Pumphouse #2    | 1 | LS  | $485,000 | $485,000   |
4 | Pumphouse #3    | 1 | LS  | $442,000 | $442,000   |
5 | Pumphouse #4    | 1 | LS  | $539,000 | $539,000   |
6 | Distribution Pipeline | 1 | LS  | $399,453 | $399,500   |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>$2,934,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$294,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design &amp; Construction Engineering</td>
<td>$441,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal &amp; Administrative</td>
<td>$72,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** | **$3,741,000**

The project cost estimate has increased by $1,873,000, from $1,868,000 to $3,741,000. The committed and proposed cost sharing are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Bonded Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Proposed Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Water Resources</td>
<td>$1,588,000</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>$3,180,000</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>280,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>561,000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,868,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,741,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The original Board funds for the project were issued as a bond. Should the Board commit additional funds to the project, these funds will be issued as a separate bond with its own repayment terms.

The applicant is experiencing financial hardship due to the condemnation of its previous water sources and the requirement of the Division of Drinking Water to maintain redundant water supplies. In the December 7, 2021 meeting of the Board of Water Resources, the applicant requested that the Board consider providing 90% of the total project cost, instead of 85%, to help alleviate the applicant’s financial burdens. This would be accomplished by issuing the new bond at a 95/5 split. The committed and applicant’s proposed cost sharing for this option would be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Bonded Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Applicant’s Proposed Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Water Resources</td>
<td>$1,588,000</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>$3,367,000</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>280,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>374,000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,868,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,741,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Repayment Terms

The current bond terms require the District to repay $1,588,000 at 1% over 30 years. The existing bond and its terms will not change. If the board agrees to the project changes, staff recommends that the board commit an additional $1,592,000. The new bonded indebtedness will be returned at 1% interest over 30 years with annual payments of approximately $64,000 (includes reserves).

Staff comment: If the Board agrees to provide 90% of the total project costs, as requested by the applicant, staff recommends that the board commit an additional $1,779,000. The new bonded indebtedness will be returned at 1% interest over 30 years with annual payments of approximately $72,000 (includes reserves).
Applicant: Price River Watershed Conservation District

Name of Water Bank: Carbon Canal Company Water Bank

Application Number: RW001
Date Received: 12/15/2021
Date Complete: 12/17/2021
Date Noticed: 12/22/2021 – 12/29/2021
Board Meeting Date: 1/27/2022

Board Member: Norman Johnson
Project Manager: Jaqueline Pacheco


Recommendation: Staff recommends the board approve the Carbon Canal Company Contract Water Bank.

Project Contacts:
Chair: William Butcher
4320 E 8900 S
Price, UT 84501
435-820-3809

Resource Coordinator: Ryan Jones
P.O. Box 1114
Castle Dale, UT 84513
435-899-1048

Attorney: Nathan Bracken
Smith Hartvigsen
257 E 200 S #500
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
801-413-1600
Purpose and Process

The proposed Carbon Canal Company Contract Water Bank is a contract water bank governed by Utah Water Banking Act ("Act"), Utah Code Title 73 Chapter 31 Part 3. The participating parties entered a contract setting the terms for a mutually beneficial water leasing arrangement. The applicant, a public entity, submitted an application requesting the contract be approved as a contract water bank and be extended the benefits of the Act. The applicant attests the parties have completed all statutory requirements and qualify to have the application approved. As the final step in establishing a contract water bank, the applicant requests the Board find the application complete and approve the application.

Location

The proposed Carbon Canal Company Water Bank intends to operate in Carbon and Emery Counties from spring 2022 through December 31, 2030. The contract water bank service area begins at the point of diversion of Carbon Canal Company’s water rights from the Price River into the Carbon Canal Company distribution system. The water bank service area includes Carbon Canal Company’s water delivery area, Olsen Reservoir, Marsing Wash, and the lower Price River to Woodside gauge.

Parties to the Contract Water Bank

Price River Watershed Conservation District, a political subdivision of the State, is the contract water bank applicant and the parties to the contract are:

- Carbon Canal Company – a Utah nonprofit mutual benefit corporation
- The Nature Conservancy – a District of Columbia nonprofit corporation
- Trout Unlimited – a Michigan nonprofit corporation
- Utah Division of Wildlife Resources – an agency of the State of Utah

Applicant Water Banking Application Activities and Approval

The applicant held a regular meeting on September 8, 2021, to provide conditional approval of the DRAFT water bank contract. Meeting minutes can be found at the following link – https://www.utah.gov/pmn/sitemap/notice/700247.html

The applicant held a regular meeting on October 20, 2021, to discuss the contract water bank application. Meeting minutes can be found at the following link – https://www.utah.gov/pmn/sitemap/notice/708559.html

The applicant held a regular meeting on January 12, 2022, to provide an opportunity for public comment on the contract water bank application. The applicant received no public comment and passed a motion finding: 1) the applicant had provided an opportunity for public comment; 2) no public comment was received; 3) the applicant has completed all of the statutory requirements to have the contract water bank application approved; and 4) requested the Board of Water Resources approve the application. Meeting minutes can be found at the following link - https://www.utah.gov/pmn/sitemap/notice/725599.html.

Water Rights & Volume

The Carbon Canal Company will file a change application to deposit Water Rights Nos. 91-3, 91-5090, and 91-5099, or other company water rights selected in consultation with the State Engineer,
to be used within the bank service area, which is approximately 31,516 acre-feet.

**Governing Body**
The proposed contract water bank will be administered by a five-member committee with one appointee from each of the participating parties. The Carbon Canal Company will act as the manager for the water bank and designate an employee for that role. The committee will oversee shareholder deposits and lessee withdrawals from the water bank and assist the manager with annual reporting requirements. The committee will not have management authority over the applicant, the Carbon Canal Company or its distribution systems or assets.

**General Operating Timeline & Procedures**
The water bank contract between the parties sets an agreed timeline of tasks and responsibilities needed to administer water leasing through the water bank:

- **December 1 - Interest Forms:** The water bank manager sends an “interest form or statement” to all Carbon Canal Company shareholders providing an opportunity to participate in annual water banking activities. Interested shareholders identify how many shares they are interested in depositing into the bank, the acre-feet and number of acres to be fallowed associated with the shares, and a per acre-foot lease price recommendation.

- **January 1 - Interest Summary:** The water bank manager prepares a summary of the interest statements received.

- **January 15 - Set Annual Lease Price:** Leasing entities (the Nature Conservancy, Trout Unlimited, and the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources) set an annual lease price based on prices sought on the interest forms and available funds. The water bank manager sends notice of the annual lease price to all shareholders who submitted an interest statement.

- **February 1 - Shareholder Deposit Form:** Shareholders interested in leasing water at the annual lease price submit a deposit form identifying how many shares they are willing to deposit, the associated acre-feet, and the associated number of acres they will fallow.

- **February 15 - Available Water Notice:** The water bank manager sends leasing entities a notice identifying the number of deposited shares and volume of acre-feet of water shareholders have made available for lease for that year.

- **March 1 - Lease Terms:** Lessees inform the water bank manager of the amount of available water they intend to lease and identify the proposed delivery point. Carbon Canal Company adjusts its delivery schedule to distribute the leased water to the leasing entities as requested. Shareholders who have leased their shares will not receive water under those shares for the duration of the lease. Any shares that were deposited into the water bank but not leased are returned the depositor.

- **November 30 - Annual Report:** The water bank manager works with the applicant to prepare and submit to the Board of Water Resources an annual report reporting the year’s activity.
- **December 10-Lease Payment**: Depositor/shareholders receive payment at annual lease price for deposited water leased to the leasing entities.

The Carbon Canal Water Bank is limited to the participating parties and has contractually extended participation to non-public entities.

Carbon Canal Company or Price River Watershed Conservation District may choose to terminate the agreement, but must provide a 60-day notice to the other parties. However, all incurred and unfulfilled obligations to the parties would survive the contract termination.

**Staff Recommendation**
Staff recommends the board approve the Carbon Canal Company Contract Water Bank.
Applicant: Uintah Water Conservancy District

Project Number: RE455
Fund: Conservation and Development Fund
Cost Estimate: $21,030,000

Application Received: 1/14/2022
Board Meeting Date: 1/27/2022

Board Member: Randy Crozier
Project Manager: Ben Marett

Project Contacts:
Chair: Quentin Johnson
2304 W. 2500 N.
Vernal, UT 84078
435-790-3624

General Manager: William Merkley
78 W. 3325 N.
Vernal, UT 84078
435-621-4450

Engineer: Mike Collins
Bowen Collins & Associates
154 E 14075 S
Draper, UT 84020
801-652-2630

Location
The proposed project is located in and near Vernal, Utah in Uintah County.

Proposed Project
The applicant is requesting financial assistance from the board to pipe Steinaker Service canal Reaches II and IIIA.

Water Rights
- 45-2049
- 45-2144
R653. Natural Resources, Water Resources.

R653-10-1. Purpose.
(1) The Legislature appropriated $50,000,000 from American Rescue Plan Act funds to improve water conservation by issuing grants to secondary water suppliers for the acquisition and installation of meters on existing systems.
(2) This rule sets forth the procedures and requirements for issuance and receipt of grant funds for installation of secondary water meters.

R653-10-2. Definitions.
(1) As used in this section:
(a) "Applicant" means a secondary water supplier.
(b) "Board" means the Board of Water Resources.
(c) "Division" means the Division of Water Resources.
(d) "Project" means the purchase and installation of a meter for a secondary water system that, as of the effective date of this rule, provides secondary water service that is not metered.
(e) "Secondary water" means the same as that term is defined in Section 73-10-34.
(f) "Secondary water connection" means the same as that term is defined in Section 73-10-34.
(g) "Secondary water supplier" means the same as that term is defined in Section 73-10-34.

(1)(a) The board may issue a grant to an applicant to fund a project for the purchase and installation of meters on an existing secondary water system that provides secondary water service that is not metered.
(b) The board may not issue a grant under this section to fund:
(i) metering of secondary water for service that begins on or after the effective date of this rule; or
(ii) the replacement or repair of an existing secondary water meter.
(2)(a) A secondary water supplier with 7,000 secondary water connections or fewer may receive no more than $5,000,000 in grant funds.
(b) A secondary water supplier with more than 7,000 secondary water connections may receive no more than $10,000,000 in grant funds.
(c)(i) Subject to the other provisions of this rule, a grant may not exceed the following amounts for the costs associated with a project, including installation or purchase of meters:
(A) for contracts dated calendar year 2022, 70% of the costs of a project;
(B) for contracts dated calendar year 2023, 70% of the costs of a project; and
(C) for contracts dated calendar year 2024, 65% of the costs of a project.
(d) A secondary water supplier may pay up to 85% of its share of project
costs under Subsection (c) through a 1% interest loan from the board.
(3) Projects receiving project grant funds under this rule must:
   (a) commit all grant funds through contract no later than December 31, 2024; and
   (b) spend all grant funds and complete construction of the project no later than
       December 31, 2026.

   (1) (a) To obtain a grant under this rule, an applicant shall submit an
       application with the division between April 1, 2022 and June 15, 2022.
       (b) If grant funding described in R653-10-1(1) remains after the first round of
           applications and grant disbursements, the board may designate one or more
           additional application periods to ensure the entire funding amount is awarded by
           December 31, 2024.
   (2) An application submitted to the division shall include:
       (a) a detailed project cost estimate, including engineering fees, meter costs,
           and installation costs;
       (b) the total number of pressurized secondary water connections in the
           applicant’s secondary water system;
       (c) the number of meters to be installed under the grant;
       (d) detailed estimated secondary water use information, including:
           (i) average lot size;
           (ii) average irrigated acreage; and
           (iii) estimated secondary water usage before the project versus after completion
               of the project;
       (e) the timeline for purchase and installation of meters under the project, including
           the projected start date and expected completion date;
       (f) estimated need for additional water and timeline for anticipated shortages;
       (g) project plans and specifications—stamped and signed by the Utah licensed
           professional engineer responsible for the project;
       (h) an agreement to:
           (i)(A) provide an educational component promoting water conservation on the
               monthly billing statement provided to the applicant’s secondary water customers;
               or
           (B) bill according to usage based on a tiered conservation rate; or
           (C) provide both an educational component on water conservation and bill
               based on a tiered conservation rate; and
           (ii)(A) commit project grant funds through contract no later than December 31, 2024; and
           and
(B) spend all project grant funds and complete construction of the project no later than December 31, 2026;
   (i) applicant’s verification that the information provided in the application is accurate and any estimates or projections submitted are based on sound professional judgement and the best available data; and
   (j) additional information required on the application.

R653-10-5. Application Review and Prioritization.
   (1) The division shall:
      (a) review and prioritize an application submitted under R653-10-4; and
      (b) recommend to the board which applicants should be awarded a grant under this rule.
   (2) In reviewing and prioritizing applications submitted under Subsection (1), the division:
      (a) may contact an applicant to:
         (i) verify information in the application;
         (ii) seek clarifications and supplemental information; and
         (iii) address and correct anomalies and inconsistencies in the application;
      and
      (b) shall score the applications based on the following:
         (i) Return on Investment—projects that provide the greatest level of water conservation from funds contributed by the state.
            (A) The metric for measuring Return on Investment is the ratio of estimated annual water use savings resulting from the project divided by the total state contribution to the project, including grants, loans, and other forms of financial assistance provided by the state.
            (ii) Need—applicants facing imminent secondary water shortages where the project will delay or eliminate the need for new water development.
               (A) The metric for measuring Need is the imminency of secondary water shortage and the period of time the project will delay the shortage and the need for new water development.
               (iii) Shovel Readiness—projects with accelerated construction schedules.
                  (A) The metric for measuring Shovel Readiness is the project’s start date, and time to completion divided by the number of meters installed.
   (3) Each scoring category in Subsection (2) will be weighted as follows:
      (a) Return on Investment—60% weight;
      (b) Need—20% weight; and
      (c) Shovel Readiness—20% weight.
   (4)(a) The division will prioritize each application based on the scoring criteria in Subsection (2) and weighting requirements in Subsection (3).
      (b) The prioritization will form the basis for the division’s recommendation to the board on applicants that should be awarded a grant.
R653-10-6. Grant Award and Agreement.

(1) Based on the grant applications submitted under R653-10-4 and prioritization of those applications performed by the division under R653-10-5, the board may award a grant to a qualified applicant for installing secondary water use meters, subject to the requirements and conditions of this rule.

(2)(a) As a condition to receiving a grant under this section, the recipient shall enter into an agreement with the board for use of the grant money.

(b) The agreement shall be executed no later than December 31, 2024 and require that grant funds are:

(i) committed through contract no later than December 31, 2024; and

(ii) completely spent and the project completed under the term of the grant no later than December 31, 2026.

(c) Nothing in Subsection (2) limits the division or board from including additional terms and conditions in the grant agreement.

R653-10-7. Statutory Supremacy.

Should any provision in this rule conflict with future legislation or parts thereof governing the distribution of American Rescue Plan Act grants funds for secondary water metering, the conflicting provisions in statute shall control.

R653-10-8. Sunset Clause.

This rule will sunset on January 15, 2027, unless sooner amended, superseded, or repealed.
**INTRODUCTION:**

This application is for eligible entities to apply for grant funds made available by the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) to the Utah Board of Water Resources, for the acquisition and installation of secondary water meters on existing, unmetered pressurized systems. Entities eligible for funding include secondary water suppliers, as defined in Utah Code § 73-10-34. The rule governing the distribution and use of these funds is attached to this application.

**APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Fill out the application.
2. Attach a detailed cost estimate of proposed secondary metering project from a licensed professional engineer, a project map, and any other pertinent information.
3. Sign and date the completed application.
4. Mail or email the completed application to:

   Shalaine DeBernardi, Project Funding Section Manager
   Utah Division of Water Resources
   PO Box 146201
   Salt Lake City, UT 84114
   shalainedebernardi@utah.gov, 801-652-1668

**CONTACT INFORMATION:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant (Irrigation Company, Municipality, District, etc.)</th>
<th>Federal Tax ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Official Business Address</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President, Chairman, Mayor, etc. (First &amp; Last Name)</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Contact (If different from President, Chairman, Mayor, etc. above)</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary/Clerk Name (First &amp; Last Name)</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Engineer (First &amp; Last Name, Company)</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney (First &amp; Last Name, Firm)</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADDITIONAL CONTACT INFORMATION:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Counsel Name if applicable (First &amp; Last Name)</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Email Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Financial Advisor Name if applicable (First & Last Name) | Phone | Email Address
--- | --- | ---

Address | City | State | Zip Code
--- | --- | --- | ---

**PROJECT INFORMATION:**

County where project is located | Town or City where project is located | When construction is expected to begin
--- | --- | ---

Brief Description of Project

Benefits of Project

**SECONDARY WATER SYSTEM INFORMATION:**

Total number of secondary system connections | Total number of secondary meters to be installed under this application
--- | ---

Average Lot Size (acres) | Average Irrigated Surface per Lot (acres)
--- | ---

Current Monthly or Annual Secondary System Rate or Assessment ($/month, $/year)

List Water Sources

Amount and Type of Storage (MG or acre-feet)

Describe the secondary distribution system (include the type: lined or unlined canal/ditch, pipeline, etc.)

**ESTIMATED ANNUAL SECONDARY WATER USE BEFORE AND AFTER METER INSTALLATION:**

Estimated average water use per lot BEFORE meter installation (acre-feet) | Estimated average water use per lot AFTER meter installation (acre-feet)
--- | ---

Estimated water conserved by meter installation (acre-feet)

**FUTURE NEED FOR WATER:**

Do you currently anticipate a future secondary water shortage? If so what year will this next occur?

If you anticipate a future water shortage, please estimate the volume of water shortage for the first year (acre-feet):

Do you anticipate that water use reductions, due to the installation of meters, will alleviate your anticipated future water shortages? Why? With installation of meters, as proposed, what year do you anticipate a secondary water shortage and the need to develop additional water?

Please describe the project timeline for purchase and installation of the meters, including the date installations will begin and the date the project will be complete:

**PROPOSED FINANCING PLAN:** (See policy below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Rescue Plan Act Grant (Up to 70% of Total Cost)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant Funds (4.5% to 30% of Total Cost)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Water Resources Loan (0% to 25.5% of Total Cost)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT WATER RIGHTS:**

List or attach all relevant water rights numbers (may also include decrees, diligence claims, change applications, and exchange applications).
POLICY REGARDING COST-SHARING:
Applicant may request American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) grant funds up to 70% of total project cost. If applicant cannot cover the remaining 30% cost share, applicant may request financing assistance through the Board of Water Resources’ revolving loan funds up to 25.5% of the total project cost. The Board of Water Resources financing will be repaid at 1% interest over a period not to exceed five years less than the warranty on the meters. The remaining 4.5% is expected to be paid by the applicant. The Board’s share of the project will also include a nominal administrative fee for costs incurred by the Division of Water Resources for project administration. This fee will be included in the feasibility report presented to the Board. The Board’s cost-share will be repaid by the applicant according to the terms set by the Board of Water Resources at the time funds are committed to the project. The administrative fee will not be charged if the project is found infeasible, denied by the Board, or if the application is withdrawn.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND SIGNATURE:
By signing and submitting this application, you acknowledge that you:
(1) Are authorized to make application for assistance on behalf of the applicant.
(2) Have read Utah Admin. Code R653-10 governing the distribution and use grant funds
(3) Have read the “Guidelines for Board of Water Resources Financial Assistance” if applying for Board loan funds.
(4) Are a qualified applicant and accept the terms and conditions enumerated herein, in Utah Admin. Code R653-10, and in the Guidelines for Board of Water Resources Financial Assistance (if applicable).
(5) Agree to provide secondary water customers with a monthly billing statement that: 1) includes an educational component on water conservation; and/or 2) charges customers according to metered usage on a tiered conservation rate structure.
(6) Agree to: a) commit all project grant funds through contract no later than December 31, 2024; and b) spend all project grant funds and complete construction of the project no later than December 31, 2026.
(7) Verify that the information provided in this application is accurate and any estimates or projections submitted are based on sound professional judgement and the best available data.

Name of Authorized Agent       Date

TO BE COMPLETED BY THE MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF WATER RESOURCES ASSIGNED TO AREA:
I have reviewed this application and forward it to staff of the Division of Water Resources to prepare a feasibility report.

Comments (if any):

Board Member       Date
Reservoir Level Application
January 27, 2022

Background

A key part of meeting the Division of Water Resources’ mission is communicating the conditions of our State’s water resources. Reservoir conditions are one of these water resource metrics and one of our most used and visited webpages. We currently communicate reservoir conditions in two places, first on our Reservoir Levels page, (https://water.utah.gov/reservoirlevels/) where major reservoirs conditions and historical conditions are split between basins and displayed on bar charts. The second location is on our Drought page, (https://water.utah.gov/water-data/drought/) where a map of the state is shown including major reservoirs and their current fill percentage. These two products are created through separate manual processes and use slightly different methods that sometimes conflict with each other. During this most recent drought we also found the public wanted the data to be updated more frequently.

Reservoir Application

To improve on our communication of reservoir conditions a Reservoir Application has been created. The application brings the two different ways we have displayed reservoir conditions into one place, showing an interactive map with current reservoir conditions as well as bar plots for each of Utah’s major basins. Additionally, line charts can help users understand how reservoir levels trend throughout the year, making interpreting current conditions and trends easier. The application also has an Info page to explain the data, providing greater transparency and answering common reservoir questions. This process is all automated, saving time and updating the data daily so that the public has the most recent data to understand reservoir conditions throughout Utah.

Presented by Tom Moore, Senior GIS Analyst
Lake Powell Pipeline Update
January 27, 2022

- Bureau of Reclamation working on Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
- Colorado River modeling and evaluation
- Updating project team members from State
  - Department of Natural Resources – Brian Steed and Todd Adams
  - Colorado River Authority – Amy Haas and Gene Shawcroft
  - Division of Water Resources – Candice Hasenyager and Michael Sanchez
- Evaluating potential federal administration changes
  - Waters of the United States (WOTUS)
  - Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument (GSENM) boundaries
- Continued efforts on Public Education and Outreach
- Waiting for State Engineer’s decision on water rights change application
- Ongoing coordination with Basin States
- Additional Permitting Efforts to be completed
  - Bureau of Reclamation Section 14 Agreement
  - Bureau of Land Management preparing Historic Properties Treatment Plan
  - Army Corps of Engineers 404 Permits and Utah 401 Certification
  - Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Opinion
- Project Timeline
  - Supplemental Draft EIS
  - Final EIS
  - Record of Decision
  - Final Design and Financing Plan
  - Construction
- Contracts Update

Presented by Eric Dixon, Senior Engineer
CHAIR BLAINE IPSON called the meeting to order at 8:05 am.
All members present except Wayne Andersen and Randy Crozier
DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER announced staff present.

It is Charles Holmgren’s 70th birthday today.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON any changes or comments on the minutes?
CHARLES HOLMGREN mentioned some minor changes on the minutes but none that change the substance.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON In the board meeting, we will have the NRCS Snow Report and then we will get into the project reports
PROJECT REPORTS
FEASIBILITY REPORT

RE454 West Cache Irrigation Company
CHARLES HOLMGREN met with Russell and the company and they have had some great projects previously funded through the Board of Water Resources.
RUSSELL HADLEY this is the third in the series of piping projects done with this company. We will leave the cost as is for today and when the bids come in, we may revisit that amount. They have a WaterSMART grant and they are looking for the remaining amount to be funded by the board. The applicant will be asking for a 30 year repayment plan instead of 25 years.
The purpose of the project is to replace three miles of a canal with a pressurized pipe. Five individually owned pumps will be replaced with one high-efficiency centralized pump.
NORM JOHNSON is this an Idaho water right? Is that okay for us?
SHALALINE DEBERNARDI they actually have an exchange right so there is technically a Utah Water Right at this time. We already own it for their other projects.
CHARLES HOLMGREN there are several irrigation companies on the Bear River that have Idaho Water Rights and we have worked with them.
JULIETTE TENNERT is the cost difference we are expecting massive or more feasible?
RUSSELL HADLEY it is around $200,000 and we will have a solid number to come back with later.
CHAIR BLAINE IPSON this will put the amount over $1 million which will require interest.
CHARLES HOLMGREN if they go 30 years, what will the dollar amount be?
RUSSELL HADLEY $31,400 and it would be 0% interest over approximately 30 years.
CHARLES HOLMGREN are those pumps in the cost estimate description included in the pump station specifically?
RUSSELL HADLEY yes. I just wanted to inform you that the President, VP, and engineer will be here for the meeting.

COMMITAL OF FUNDS

RE444 Willow Creek Irrigation Company
CHAIR BLAINE IPSON this company came in 3 months ago to go over the feasibility of this project and it is for secondary metering but part of it is for irrigation for houses. They are ready to get going on this project.
BEN MARETT the project was authorized in the August board meeting and nothing has changed since that time. The applicant has moved forward with purchasing a few pilot meters to ensure they will work with the system and they are ready to start work on this. They provide water agriculturally and through secondary use. This project will meter all the secondary and agricultural connections on their system.

SPECIAL ITEMS

RE453 Huntsville South Bench Irrigation Company
VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS this is a relatively small project and they are ready to move forward with the project so they are coming for authorization and committal of funds today.

BEN MARETT this project is the third that they have done with the Board. The purpose of the project is to replace 1,100 feet of open canal with 20” HDPE pipe and bore a new road crossing below Highway 39. There will be representation from the company today.

RL585 Powder Mountain Water & Sewer ID

VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS this project has had a lot of moving parts and there has been a change in scope and the cost has gone up.

BEN MARETT due to shortages and other manufacturing issues, the original cost estimate has changed and they are coming forward to ask for additional funds. They will construct an additional booster pump station and an additional 4,000 feet of pipe. The project cost was increased by nearly $1.6 million.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON do they not need additional storage?

BEN MARETT the storage was there for fire flow and they feel that with the pumps that exist on the eastern side and the spring box, they will be able to meet their fire flow demands.

CHARLES HOLMGRON when they came forward last time, was there a different engineer?

BEN MARETT this is the second project with the Board. The first one had CRS doing the engineer work and that situation devolved into some legal issues so they are working with Jim Milligan now. They will have to rebond because they did this through a bond so this is a unique situation.

2021 WATER RESOURCES PLAN REPORT

RACHEL SHILTON gave a brief update of the 2021 Water Resources Plan.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON there is no board action on this item.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON Joel Williams will be giving the Lake Powell Pipeline report and one thing to note; the Deputy Director position has been filled since our last meeting. There were two fantastic candidates to choose from and ultimately, Joel has been selected as the Deputy Director of the Division of Water Resources so we are excited for him.

LAKE POWELL PIPELINE REPORT

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS gave a brief update of the Lake Powell Pipeline Report

JIM LEMMON some of the issues were coming from the Kaibab Tribe and they felt that groups were not including them in meetings but the meetings that have been set up were cancelled by the tribe so has there been success in holding a meeting?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS Washington County Water Conservancy District has reached out to the tribe and they have had 1-2 meetings but the pandemic has set things back. One of the challenges was the motion that was passed by the membership of the tribe that specified working with us only on the southern alignment. The negotiations are ongoing and we are hoping to get back on a regular meeting schedule.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON there is no board action for this item.
WATER BANKING REPORT

EMILY LEWIS and JAQUELINE PACHECO gave a brief update of the Water Banking Report
CHARLES HOLMGREN I felt the forms looked appropriate but there were two grammatical errors that needed to be corrected.
EMILY LEWIS those will be corrected.
CHAIR BLAINE IPSON so there will be a need for 2 motions today.
VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS will make the motions.

ARPA FUNDING GUIDELINES

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS gave an update on the use of the ARPA funding guidelines.
VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS as you have gone through the process, it has been specified that this grant money is only for unmetered systems. This is a $50 million grant amount, so is there enough need to meet that threshold?
DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS we know that the need is high, we have heard excess of $200 million. We don’t know exactly what to anticipate.
VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS if the need was not there, would we want to move to the next phase, which would be replacing those with existing meters that are beginning to fail?
DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS if there was not as much of a demand to install secondary meters on existing systems, there are other options that we can go with.
CHAIR BLAINE IPSON these are initial guidelines and they can be amended down the road if need be.
NORM JOHNSON I thought it was interesting that it was mentioned that one entity could come in and use the entire chunk so I like that the guidelines allow smaller entities to have access to this.
CHAIR BLAINE IPSON who knows what the Legislature will come forward with in the future as well. This could become mandatory and I hope we have resources for those entities that can’t cover that cost on their own.
JULIETTE TENNERT I like the guidelines but I have a technical questions: is there an appropriations act with intent language? I would like to preserve flexibility for the board so I just want to know if there is going to be specific language.
DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER we do have some initial items. There was $100 million appropriated and of that, there was a small working group that met and divided up the amount. This has been presented before the executive appropriations committee. $50 million is going to secondary metering, $25 million is going to Drinking Water projects, $20 million is going to agricultural optimization, and $5 million is going to the Great Salt Lake to dredge the marina and adjust the breach to help with salinity control to help with declining lake levels. So, the money has already been appropriated and it was discussed at that executive appropriations meeting that there would be a 75/25 split, so there is flexibility. There is a time sensitivity with this money in getting this out the door as quickly as possible so we can set the dates of when we want to get the application process going.
CHAIR BLAINE IPSON would it be possible to get a draft application sent to us before the next meeting so we can look it over and take action on that?
VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS I agree, I think we need to move quickly on this.
CHAIR BLAINE IPSON I like things early and I know that presents a bit of a challenge. But I would appreciate that.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS yes, we can do that.

INTERSTATE STREAMS BEAR RIVER COMMISSIONER

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON there are 3 Commissioners from the state of Utah, Charles Holmgren and Blair Francis are currently serving. Historically, the Director of the Division of Water Resources has also served in that role. We will be ratifying Governor Cox’s appointment of Director Candice Hasenyager to be a Bear River Commissioner

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER Wendy and Shalaine were working on the motion.
SHALAIN DEBERNARDI the Governor does not actually make the appointment for the Bear River Commission, that is done by the Board of Water Resources and subject to approval by the Executive Director of the Department of Natural Resources. The motion we have written states “I nominate Director Candice Hasenyager to act as the Board’s Representative on the Bear River Commission subject to the approval of the Director of Natural Resources and the Governor”. I got some legal clarification after we printed the agenda.

ELECTION OF 2022 BOARD OFFICERS

Nomination of KYLE STEPHENS as CHAIR and JULIETTE TENNERT as VICE-CHAIR of the Board of Water Resources. We will need a motion for each of those in the full meeting.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS gave an update on the Status of Funds.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER we have two additional new staff members to introduce.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS introduced STEPHANIE MCGINNIS as the new Water Conservation Section Manager.
DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER introduced WENDY CROWTHER as a new member of the AG’s office and will be working with water entities in the state.
There will also be an update in the main meeting on the Land Use and Water Planning status. There was $270,000 appropriated to integrate land use and water planning in the 2020 legislative session. I will also talk about the Bear River Commission and some of the issues we have been facing. Also, some issues on the Colorado River will be discussed in the board meeting. With Lake Powell hitting record lows this year, there has been a drought response operations emergency that was issued and the BOR had 181,000 acre-feet released to increase Lake Powell by about 3 feet. The BOR is considering doing additional emergency action but they are considering changing the release volumes at Lake Powell.
They would reduce the releases from Jan-April and increase the releases from June-Aug to make up for that. This is not official but this was discussed with the Colorado River Authority of Utah Board.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON how is the communication going between you and Gene Shawcroft and the other Basin states?

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER there has been a lot of effort to make sure we are communicating regularly and we have had monthly meetings with the DNR Colorado River groups and a smaller group with Brian Steed, Theresa Wilhemson, Gene Shawcroft, Amy Haas, and myself. This is all meant to ensure we are working together and communicating. Theresa, Amy, and I meet weekly to discuss these issues.

The Governor is announcing his budget recommendation today as well so we will have more information on that at the full board meeting. We also need to change the motion on verbiage a bit for the Upper Colorado River Commission.

NORMAN JOHNSON moved to adjourn and JIM LEMMON seconded. All approved at 9:28 am
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SUMMARY OF BOARD ACTIONS

December 7, 2021

1. PAGE 1: The minutes from the October 28, 2021 meeting were approved. NORM JOHNSON moved to approve the minutes, JIM LEMMON seconded, and all approved.

2. PAGE 2: RE454 West Cache Irrigation Company – the board authorized 45.8% of the project cost, up to $940,000, and that the project be purchased at 0% interest over approximately 24 years with annual payments of $39,400. CHARLES HOLMGREN moved to approve, VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS seconded the motion, and all approved.

3. PAGE 3: RE444 Willow Creek Irrigation Company - the board committed 85% of the project cost, up to $315,500, and that the project be purchased at 1% interest over 15 years, with annual payments of approximately $22,800. JIM LEMMON moved to approve, CHARLES HOLMGREN seconded the motion, and all approved.

4. PAGE 3: RE453 Huntsville South Bench Irrigation Company – the board authorized and commit 85% of the project cost, up to $224,500, and that the project be purchased at 0% interest over 15 years with annual payments of approximately $15,000. VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS moved to approve, NORM JOHNSON seconded the motion and all approved.

5. PAGE 6: RL585 Powder Mountain Water and Sewer– VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS moved to table the project discussion to the next meeting in January and NORM JOHNSON seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved and the project was tabled until the January 27th meeting, and all approved.

6. PAGE 7: Water Banking Guidelines – the board authorized and directed the Division of Water Resources to receive, date, determine completeness, and post notice of Contract Water Bank Applications in accordance with Utah Code 73-31-303. The board adopted the application and guidelines for Contract Water Banks, as written. VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS moved to approve, CHARLES HOLMGREN seconded the motion, and all approved.

7. PAGE 8: ARPA SECONDARY METER GRANT GUIDELINES - JULIETTE TENNERT moved to adopt the guidelines as written for the ARPA Secondary Water Meter Grants. VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved and the guidelines were approved.

8. PAGE 8: BEAR RIVER COMMISSION REPRESENTATIVE - CHARLES HOLMGREN moved to nominate Director CANDICE HASENYAGER to act as the board’s representative on the Bear
River Commission, subject to the approval of the Director of Natural Resources and the Governor. JIM LEMMON seconded the motion. All approved.

9. PAGE 9: UPPER COLORADO RIVER ALTERNATE COMMISSIONER - NORM JOHNSON moved to ratify Governor Cox’s appointment of Director CANDICE HASENYAGER as the alternate commissioner to the Upper Colorado River Commission. JIM LEMMON seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved.

10. PAGE 11: NOMINATION OF 2022 BOARD OFFICERS - JIM LEMMON moved to nominate KYLE STEPHENS as the chair. NORM JOHNSON seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved. NORMAN JOHNSON moved to nominate JULIETTE TENNERT as the vice-chair. CHARLES HOLMGREN seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved.

11. PAGE 11: ADJOURNMENT – CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS moved to adjourn the meeting and NORM JOHNSON seconded the motion. All approved and the meeting ended at 12:28 pm.
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CHAIR BLAINE IPSON called the meeting to order at 10:00 am.
All board members present with the exception of Wayne Andersen and Randy Crozier.
DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER announced all staff and others present.
Happy birthday today to board member Charles Holmgren!

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES
NORM JOHNSON moved to approve the minutes and JIM LEMMON seconded the motion. After a roll call vote, all members approved the minutes.

NRCS SNOW REPORT
DAVID ERICSSON provided an update on the snow report. The snow pack is 34% to normal and hoping for more moisture in the future. Precipitation is 103% to normal. Soil moisture is 53% to normal.

PROJECT REPORTS

FEASIBILITY REPORTS

RE454 West Cache Irrigation Company
Tom Griffin (board of directors), Steven Wood (engineer)

RUSSELL HADLEY the purpose of the project is to replace three miles of a canal with a pressurized pipe. Five individually owned pumps will be replaced with one high-efficiency centralized pump. This will save approximately 1,083 acre feet annually and will reduce operation and maintenance costs. It will also reduce weed/moss killer used in the ditch, reduce drought impacts, and provide electrical savings.

Staff recommends the board authorize 45.8% of the project cost, up to $940,000, and that the project be purchased at 0% interest over approximately 24 years with annual payments of $39,400.

TOM GRIFFIN we would like to ask for a 30 year repayment plan because of lower crop yields due to cooler temperatures and other economic impacts.

STEVEN WOOD the shareholders are excited about this project but there is some additional infrastructure on top of this project so the extended repayment period would benefit them.
JULIETTE TENNERT there was a point brought up that the pumps they are wanting to use are more expensive which bumps the amount above $1 million and that would include a change in terms for this agreement of 1% interest.

TOM GRIFFIN the reason we are wanting to do that is for efficiency on the electrical side.

STEVEN WOOD when the pump is run higher to its maximum output, with these more expensive pumps, the electrical bill over time is less and makes the motor last longer. We are looking at some additional grant money through the state to stay below the $1 million dollar threshold.

RUSSELL HADLEY is that a water optimization grant through the Department of Agriculture and Food?

STEVEN WOOD yes, and they are opening those applications in the spring.

CHARLES HOLMGREN this company did a phenomenal job at conserving water this year.

RUSSELL HADLEY if the board did approve the 30 year repayment plan, staff would then recommend the board authorize 45.8% of the project cost, up to $940,000 and that the project be purchased at 0% interest over 30 years with annual payments of approximately $31,400.

RANDY STAKER there were no public comments for this project.

SHALAIN DEBERNARDI if the years are set and the payments are approximate and they do not take the full amount of funds, the payment can be reduced. However, if the years are approximate and the payments are a set amount, they are stuck with that payment until it is paid off. We did approximate years initially but if you approve the 30 years, we would prefer you specify approximate amount instead of approximate years.

CHARLES HOLMGREN moved to approve the authorization of the funds, VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS seconded the motion to approve the authorization and after a vote, all approved. The motion to approve the authorization passed.

**COMMITTAL OF FUNDS**

RE444 Willow Creek Irrigation Company

BEN MARETT the purpose of the project is to install flow meters on both secondary and agricultural connections within the Company’s service area.

Staff recommends the board commit 85% of the project cost, up to $315,500, and that the project be purchased at 1% interest over 15 years, with annual payments of approximately $22,800.

NORM JOHNSON is the water they are selling being run through a treatment plant? Are we protecting ourselves?

BEN MARETT it is not being treated because the special district they sell the water to takes care of that.
RANDY STAKER there were no public comments

JIM LEMMON moved to commit the funds for the project, CHARLES HOLMGREN seconded the motion to commit the funds and after a vote, all approved. The motion to commit the funds was passed.

SPECIAL ITEMS

RE453 Huntsville South Bench Irrigation Company
Ray Walker (manager) Sandra Walker (secretary) Richard Driesdale (board member) Brian Deeter (engineer)

BEN MARETT the purpose of the project is to replace 1,100 feet of open canal with 20” HDPE pipe and bore a new road crossing below Highway 39.
Staff recommends the board authorize and commit 85% of the project cost, up to $224,500, and that the project be purchased at 0% interest over 15 years with annual payments of approximately $15,000.
RAY WALKER without this update, we would not be able to get water to all of our shareholders. The last shareholder that is not able to utilize their full share has a large farm and they have put that in a conservation easement to preserve it.
VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS with the alignment, does that go close to the structure on the map? Are there issues with that closeness?
RICHARD it is adjacent to their sidewalk so there will not be issues with that building. It is also an existing pipe so this will replace that.
CHARLES HOLMGREN what is the cost per acre foot for water service in this area?
RAY WALKER about 85% of the canal is set up for flood irrigation. There is one large farm and 119 shares and a dam. They pump the water and they have pivot irrigation as well. I am not sure the cost per acre but we pay $175 per share per year for the current loan we have with the state. The board has been charging $40 per share to put aside for maintenance on the canal. There is a lease from Weber Basin that is $6600 per year.

RANDY STAKER there were no public comments
VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS moved to authorize and commit the cost of the project, NORM JOHNSON seconded the motion to authorize and commit. After a vote, all approved and the motion to authorize and commit was passed.

RL585 Powder Mountain WSID
Roy Watts (manager) Carrie Zenger (clerk) Brad Gilson (engineer) Marcus Keller (financial advisor) Robert Barron (chairman of the board)
BEN MARETT the purpose of the original project was to install two new booster pump stations, rehabilitate two existing pump stations, install a spring box and a pipeline to connect Pizzle Spring #3 to the eastern pressure zone. Since construction began, costs have gone up and the scope of the project has shifted to assist with changing goals of the applicant. They propose that they postpone the water storage tank indefinitely and construct a fourth booster pump station and install an additional 4,000 feet of pipeline to connect everything together. The cost estimate is now $3,741,000 which is an increase of $1,873,000. The cost share is still 85% and the amount goes up to $3,180,000 if approved.

Staff recommends that the board commit an additional $1,592,000, for a total bond of $3,180,000. The bonded indebtedness will be returned at 1% interest over 30 years with annual payments of approximately $128,000 (including reserves).

ROY WATTS we appreciate your consideration on this as this project is essential. The additional capacity at the top of the mountain is critical.

BRAD GILSON this project adds pipeline all the way up to the top of the mountain which reaches one of our main water sources.

MARCUS KELLER there was a request on the financial side that was discussed. The 15% match contribution is being reviewed and we were wondering if the cost share could be 10% instead. Would the board be amenable to that? This would allow the district to retain some of their cash on hand.

BEN MARETT it would involve some recalculations on the bond on our end to get the amounts for this proposed change.

VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS what is the revenue source that would cover the increase and regarding the cost share change, is that doable with the boards guidelines?

MARCUS KELLER the revenue source would be rates from the users through a tax exempt bond. The available revenues as described in the bond documents would be the source. It would be received in a tax exempt bond.

SHALAIN DEBERNARDI you would be issuing a new bond for one and not issuing a new bond to cover both, correct?

MARCUS KELLER yes.

SHALAIN DEBERNARDI the guidelines do allow the board to cover 90% of the cost in the past but we do not have those numbers at this time. What is the new bond amount for the new money?

MARCUS KELLER $1,774,900 and $93,100.

SHALAIN DEBERNARDI we may have different numbers based on my math but we would have to recalculate the payments on the new money. The terms could remain the same but the amounts would change and it seems that they are wanting to do a reissuance of a new bond. Because this information only just came up now, I would recommend tabling this action item until the next meeting. That is, if the board is open to funding this increase at a higher rate for the second portion of the project.
MARCUS KELLER I know it is critical that the district get this approved as soon as possible to get the bills covered for the piece of the project. Can the board give you discretion here and approve the 10% cost share and your team can work on putting that together. We just want to avoid any hindrance to the project.

SHALAINE DEBERNARDI do they have funds available to pay bills on their existing project or have they all been spent?

BEN MARETT approximately $890,000 has been paid out so the $1.868 million from the last bond should have some available still. The reason we chose this board meeting was due to expected cash flow issues if this was put off.

BRAD GILSON one of the challenges is the short construction season on the top of the mountain. It really runs from June to September so we have a lot of work to do to procure contractors.

MARCUS KELLER this amount being requested is roughly the same amount as the prior loan.

SHALAINE DEBERNARDI I dont believe anyone is opposed to this suggestion but we do not like surprises and we had no idea this request was going to be made. We have had no time to discuss or prepare calculations to run the numbers so we can consider what this means. So, while this is a reasonable request, we are feeling unprepared and I am not sure I am willing to make that recommendation to the board. I am open if the board would like to discuss this further but this would not be deterring the project if we waited until the January meeting because we could close on the new bond as early as the first week of February.

MARCUS KELLER we would of course do whatever the district wants and if they feel they can get through the next little bit on the funds available.

CARRIE ZENGER I will submit another requisition to Ben to update what has been covered but we do have enough funds to cover us until the next board meeting.

MARCUS KELLER for the upcoming meeting, we would ask for feedback on the contribution amount so that the district can prepare according with Ben and Shalaine.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON I feel blindsided and I am not ready to take action on a proposal that we were not aware of today.

VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS I am prepared to make the original motion if there are no other questions on this.

NORMAN JOHNSON I am just confused as to why this was so last minute.

CHARLES HOLMGREN I feel like we need to put this off until January because there are too many open ended items going on.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON the original motion may not even make sense to make at this time.

JULIETTE TENNERT I would be supportive of tabling this. I understand that a new bond would be issued at 95% which would make the whole project a 90% cost share but I do not want to set a precedent of setting a 95% cost share. Staff would need to document this thoroughly.

SHALAINE DEBERNARDI are we considering this two separate projects or one project with an expansion of scope? The fact that it is a bond and must be issued a different way is a technicality.
If it's one project with additional funds, it should not be a problem. I do agree that this would require a different motion than what we were prepared for.

MARCUS KELLER would you prefer the district to refund the current bond to make one new bond?

SHALAINE DEBERNARDI I don't believe that matters but it changes the way we look at things. If we know that you are not reissuing a new bond, we can work with the payments and structure as they are. If you are going to reissue a new bond, then we would have different terms than how they are currently written. The board would still commit additional funds, but the new bond would be repaid with different terms.

MARCUS KELLER just for simplicity's sake, it would be the district's preference to just issue new money instead of new money and refunding.

SHALAINE DEBERNARDI we can work with this but it will require a new motion.

JIM LEMMON is it a better rate for the board if we reissued a bond?

MARCUS KELLER the bonds that were issued in 2020 is also at 1% so it would extend the term but it would be about the same.

SHALAINE DEBERNARDI we recommended 1% and that would be the same for the new bond as well. I did want to note that the reason we bring the 85/15 percent cost share before you is because that falls within your guidelines and that is what we have been directed to do. If you are open to a 90/10 percent cost share, we would like some direction on that today so we can prepare for that. We do not want a motion, just some direction.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON bring us both so we can discuss that. That will give us time to look over the information.

JULIETTE TENNERT how often do we deviate from our guidelines and what are the circumstances?

SHALAINE DEBERNARDI we don't generally deviate from the 85/15 percent. Generally, they can do the work themselves if it is a smaller amount. Large amounts of money are more difficult for applicants to work with. There have been times when the board has gone outside the guidelines previously but this specific one is rare.

JIM LEMMON what is your cost to the district and would that change if we did it differently?

MARCUS KELLER our fee would not be affected either way because it is a fixed amount. There is a process if we change the bond but we just want to make sure all of our ducks in a row before we close in February.

RANDY STAKER there were no public comments

VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS moved to table the project discussion to the next meeting in January and NORM JOHNSON seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved and the project was tabled until the January 27th meeting.
WATER RESOURCES PLAN UPDATE

RACHEL SHILTON the plan was put out for public comment on September 29 and closed on November 15th. We received 275 comments. Many were not happy with the survey format. There were many comments regarding conservation and ongoing projects including Bear River, Lake Powell Pipeline, Great Salt Lake, etc. We are reviewing these comments, which along with our responses will be published with the plan. They are grouped by topic as well. We are hoping to have this available by the end of the year. There will not be a large number of hard copies printed but the plan will be available online.

RANDY STAKER there were not any public comments

LAKE POWELL PIPELINE REPORT

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS provided an update on Lake Powell Pipeline key efforts

RANDY STAKER there were no public comments

WATER BANKING REPORT

EMILY LEWIS provided an update on the water banking project based on what we went over in the October meeting. We will have one less participant in the bank than we had presented at the October meeting. We will have our first formal application next week and we will be asking for approval of that at the January meeting.
JAQUELINE PACHECO provided a brief update on the contract verbiage that was discussed in the October meeting.
Staff recommends that the board authorize and direct the Division of Water Resources to receive, date, determine completeness, and post notice of Contract Water Bank Applications in accordance with Utah Code 73-31-303.
Staff also recommends that the board adopt the application and guidelines for Contract Water Banks, as written.
CHAIR BLAINE IPSON Norm will be signing the application next week and he will be signing to authorize the staff to review it.

RANDY STAKER no public comments

VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS moved to authorize and direct the Division to ensure the applications are complete. CHARLES HOLMGREN seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved and the motion passed.
VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS moved to approve that the board adopt the application and guidelines for Contract Water Banks, as discussed. NORMAN JOHNSON seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved and the motion passed.

**ARPA SECONDARY METER GRANT GUIDELINES**

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS provided a breakdown on the proposed guidelines and the application process. There will be an application form at the meeting in January to make a motion on.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON these are guidelines and they may change as time goes along. Regarding the 45 day application period, I believe that needs to be determined as soon as the application is done so we can get the information out to as many people as possible. At the next meeting, I would like to see how the Division plans to do that.

RANDY STAKER no public comments

JULIETTE TENNERT moved to adopt the guidelines as written for the ARPA Secondary Water Meter Grants. VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved and the guidelines were approved.

**INTERSTATE STREAMS**

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER we have had great representation by Todd Adams on this Commission and in discussions with Brian Steed and Theresa Wilhlemson, it has been decided that the Director of Water Resources would serve in that capacity if approved by the board.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON communication lines between all entities is integral in this process and hopefully include Todd Adams in these discussions because of his background and wealth of knowledge. I am very comfortable with Candice being appointed to this position.

CHARLES HOLMGREN communication is imperative so I would echo that sentiment along with Todd Adams being included as long as he would like to in these discussions.

RANDY STAKER there were no public comments

CHARLES HOLMGREN moved to nominate Director CANDICE HASENYAGER to act as the board's representative on the Bear River Commission, subject to the approval of the Director of Natural Resources and the Governor. JIM LEMMON seconded the motion. All approved and the nomination of Director CANDICE HASENYAGER was approved.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON the next agenda item deals with the Upper Colorado River Alternate Commissioner position which Candice will update us on.
DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER when Todd Adams moved to the Department, it was recommended that I be appointed into that position. Through a letter to UCRC, the Governor Cox appointed me to that position so we ask that you ratify that appointment.

RANDY STAKER there were no public comments

NORM JOHNSON moved to ratify Governor Cox’s appointment of Director CANDICE HASENYAGER as the alternate commissioner to the Upper Colorado River Commission. JIM LEMMON seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved and Candice’s appointment was ratified.

PUBLIC COMMENT

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER there was a public comment on the ARPA funding which was from Rick Smith:

Thank you for considering ARPA funds for secondary water meters. We have a concern about the funding percentages of the grants. We would prefer 100% grants because entities will have long term cost of operation, maintenance, and future replacement of the meters once they are installed. The grant applications will also require entities to expend monies to create estimates and project scopes with a professional engineering signing off on the project. It would be difficult for most secondary water providers to come up with the matching funds. In order to come up with these matching funds, secondary water rates would have to be increased in some entities to the point where they are as much or more than culinary water rates. The State of Utah secondary water meter grant program has a 15% match, and this would potentially cause some confusion between the two programs. Another challenge is to actually install fifty million dollars in meters by the end of 2026. We have completed two small metering projects over the last three years and we have already found it difficult to find contractors who are willing to install these projects in a short amount of time. Another concern is supply chain issues which we are sure everyone is well aware of. We are not sure we can even get that amount of meters by the end of 2026. Thank you for considering our comments.

There have been questions on these repayment schedules for years and we appreciate the coordination, time, and efforts of DWR staff to investigate further and working with state financing. We continue to pay back said loans, and these adjustments ensures that we’re not paying interest on deferred interest. We appreciate the Board and their assistance over the decades DWCCC has sought and received funding.
Will answer any questions the Board may have concerning our project

SHALAINE DEBERNARDI there was another public comment that was very similar to the first also by Rick Smith:

We are grateful that ARPA funds are being considered for secondary water meters. We would prefer 100% grants since the secondary water companies will have the long term costs of operation and maintenance, and future replacement of said meters. The grant applications will also require entities to expend monies to create estimates and project scopes with a professional engineering signing off on the project scope. Most secondary water providers do not have matching funds due to the tight margins and trying to keep the cost of secondary water comparable to or less than culinary water rates. The State secondary water meter grant program has a 15% match, so there may be some confusion if you have two different match percentages (State 15%, ARPA 25%). The other challenge is to physically install $50M worth of meters by December 2026. We have done two large metering projects in the last 6 years, and the maximum we've been able to install in a 2-year time period was 700 meters. At the cost of approximately $2000 per meter installation, that is $1.4M (costs may be higher now). Both supply chain concerns as well as labor shortage pressures will create challenges. Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON in the briefing meeting we had discussed that the 75/25 was more of a recommendation from the legislation committee correct?

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER yes, Brian Steed, Kim Shelley, and Craig Buttars presented to the Executive Appropriations Committee and it was their recommendation which was accepted by the committee. 2026 is based on the ARPA rules, not our division or board.

VICE-CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS that is the response we should send back to Rick Smith.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS it was decided that the applicant can come to the board for a load to help with that 25% as well. It is good for these applicants to know they can apply for a loan for that amount which would put the applicants amount to cover at 3.75%.

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON these are guidelines so they can be tweaked if need be. Do we need to open this discussion again? I believe we have already had this discussion thoroughly.

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER is the it the direction of the board that we reply to Rick Smith with these comments?

CHAIR BLAINE IPSON yes please.

DIRECTORS REPORT

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER I want to share appreciation for the members of the board and the team at Water Resources. There are four quick items I want to cover:

In 2021 the Utah Legislature approved $270,000 for integrated land use and water planning and we were able to leverage an additional $30,000 from the Great Salt Lake Advisory Council to issue a “Phase 1” of this project. That happened on June 30 and we developed a Utah specific Assessment Framework for Community Action and Stakeholder Outreach that is available on our website. Most
recently we were able to contract with the Babbitt Center to complete a “Phase 2” of integrating land use and water planning that will include the development of curriculum stakeholder workshops and technical assistant through 2023.

Another item I wanted to discuss was regarding the Bear River Commission, it was a tough year for this river and there was a request for petition for interstate water delivery pursuant to the compact. This is on tributary flows so this is something we will be working on over the next few months. We will work closely with the water users to explain the compact and help them understand the water rights.

Regarding Interstate Streams, there have been meetings with the seven basin states over the last 6 months to discuss the renegotiation of the interim guidelines. We are working on improving the modeling for the Colorado River by April 1 and then moving on to the sensitive analysis. With Lake Powell reaching record lows, we are trying to protect the lake at a higher elevation and there has already been an emergency release by the Bureau of Reclamation. The 24 month study that was released projected Lake Powell Pipeline to be below the target elevations of 35/25 in March or April so they are exploring another kind of drought emergency response action where they would change the release patterns at Lake Powell. The releases would be reduced from January to April and increased from June to August. This is just a proposal at this point so we should know more in the coming weeks.

Regarding Demand Management as a potential tool to protect against drought and low reservoir conditions, this concept is for Colorado River water users as a voluntary and temporary reduction in water use. This is a similar idea to water banking. Water Resources has a contract with the University of Utah’s Environmental Dispute Resolution to do a situation assessment on Demand Management.

The Governor had a press event today to discuss his budget. There were some specific items regarding water and I wanted to give a highlight on those. There will be an estimated additional $2 million in ARPA funds to Secondary Water Metering. They added $1.5 million to incentivize homeowners to replace their grass/turf with water wise landscaping. This is a one time fund. There was also $500,000 for water storage enhancement but we will have more information on that later because we are not sure what the goal is there. There was $45 million that was set aside for Great Salt Lake preservation.

ELECTION OF THE 2022 BOARD OFFICERS

JIM LEMMON moved to nominate KYLE STEPHENS as the chair. NORM JOHNSON seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved.

NORMAN JOHNSON moved to nominate JULIETTE TENNERT as the vice-chair. CHARLES HOLMGREN seconded the motion and after a vote, all approved.

KYLE STEPHENS moved to adjourn the meeting and NORM JOHNSON seconded the motion. The meeting ended at 12:28 pm.