BOARD OF WATER RESOURCES
BOARD MEETING
Salt Lake City
May 5, 2022
2022 Board Meeting Schedule

May 5, 2022 – Salt Lake City
June 16, 2022 – Salt Lake City
August 4, 2022 – Salt Lake City
September 15, 2022 – (TBA) Provo River
District October 27, 2022 – Salt Lake City
December 6, 2022 Salt Lake City
I. WELCOME/CHAIR’S REPORT
   *Chair Kyle Stephens

II. DISCUSSION OF BOARD AGENDA ITEMS
    (See Board Meeting Agenda)

III. INFORMATION TO THE BOARD

IV. OTHER ITEMS TO DISCUSS
Agenda
Utah Board of Water Resources
Board Meeting
May 5, 2022
10:00 AM Briefing
1:00 PM Board Meeting
Department of Natural Resources Auditorium
1594 W. North Temple, Salt Lake City
Link to presentations and public comment form: https://water.utah.gov/comments/
Livestream Links:
Briefing Meeting: https://youtu.be/Ul_0NtYi6EQ
Board Meeting: https://youtu.be/QbBC158cwJ

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

NRCS WATER SUPPLY/DROUGHT REPORT:
Jordan Clayton

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proj. No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Proj. Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RC023</td>
<td>Consolidated Sevier Bridge Reservoir Co.</td>
<td>Juab</td>
<td>Tom Cox</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMMITTAL OF FUNDS:
RC023     Consolidated Sevier Bridge Reservoir Co. | Juab   | Tom Cox       |

SPECIAL ITEMS:
RE446     Provo River Water Users (Re-Authorization) | Utah   | Tom Cox       |
RE448     Centerfield City (Withdrawal)             | Sanpete| Jaqueline Pacheco |
RE451     Salem City (Withdrawal)                  | Utah   | Ben Marett    |
RL584     Monroe City (Withdrawal)                 | Sevier | Ben Marett    |

NEW APPLICATIONS:
RE458     City of South Salt Lake                | Salt Lake | Jaqueline Pacheco |

TRANSPARENT WATER BILLING GRANT UPDATE:
Josh Zimmerman

BEAR RIVER DEVELOPMENT REPORT:
Marisa Egbert

LAKE POWELL PIPELINE REPORT:
Eric Dixon

DIRECTOR’S REPORT:
Candice Hasenyager

ADJOURNMENT
### BOARD OF WATER RESOURCES

#### REVOLVING CONSTRUCTION FUND

**Funding Status**  
**May 5, 2022**

**Funds Available for Projects This FY**  
$14,206,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects Contracted This FY</th>
<th>RC023 <strong>Grant</strong></th>
<th>1,000,000</th>
<th>1,000,000</th>
<th>(Add'l Amt.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Consolidated Sevier Bridge Reservoir Co</td>
<td>RC023 <strong>Grant</strong></td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>(Add'l Amt.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Emery Water Conservancy District</td>
<td>RG289 <strong>Grant</strong></td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Emery Water Conservancy District</td>
<td>RG290 <strong>Grant</strong></td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Koosharem Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RC058 <strong>Grant</strong></td>
<td>3,645,000</td>
<td>3,645,000</td>
<td>(Add'l Amt.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Koosharem Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RC058 <strong>Loan</strong></td>
<td>405,000</td>
<td>405,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funds Contracted</strong></td>
<td>$5,200,000</td>
<td>$5,200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funds Balance</strong></td>
<td>$9,006,000</td>
<td>$9,006,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects with Funds Committed</th>
<th>RE427</th>
<th>$1,000,000</th>
<th>10/28/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Ashley Central Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RE427</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>10/28/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* 2 Consolidated Sevier Bridge Reservoir Co</td>
<td>RC023 <strong>Grant</strong></td>
<td>17,100,000</td>
<td>17,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Huntsville South Bench Canal Co</td>
<td>RE453</td>
<td>224,500</td>
<td>224,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Kanab Irrigation Company</td>
<td>RE456</td>
<td>255,000</td>
<td>255,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Nibley Blacksmith Fork Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RE440</td>
<td>768,000</td>
<td>768,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Washington County Flood Contr. Auth. (Warner)</td>
<td>RC049 <strong>Grant</strong></td>
<td>212,000</td>
<td>212,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Washington County Flood Contr. Auth. (Stucki)</td>
<td>RC050 <strong>Grant</strong></td>
<td>88,400</td>
<td>88,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 West Milburn Irrigation Company</td>
<td>RE442</td>
<td>335,000</td>
<td>335,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funds Committed</strong></td>
<td>$19,983,000</td>
<td>$19,983,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funds Balance</strong></td>
<td>$(10,977,000)</td>
<td>$(10,977,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects Authorized</th>
<th>RE443</th>
<th>$580,000</th>
<th>01/28/21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Sunrise &amp; Bench Creek Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RE443</td>
<td>$580,000</td>
<td>01/28/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 West Cache Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RE454</td>
<td>940,000</td>
<td>940,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funds Authorized</strong></td>
<td>$1,520,000</td>
<td>$1,520,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remaining Funds Available</strong></td>
<td>$(12,497,000)</td>
<td>$(12,497,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* To be presented at Board Meeting
** Dam Safety Projects
Funds Available for Projects This FY $ 7,761,000

Bonds Closed This FY

1 Herriman City       RL588  **Grant $ 75,000
2 Powder Mountain Water & Sewer Imp Dist RL585  1,779,000 (Add'l Amt)

Total Bonds Closed $ 1,854,000
Funds Balance $ 5,907,000

Projects with Funds Committed

1 None

Total Funds Committed $ -
Funds Balance $ 5,907,000

Projects Authorized

1 Millville City       RL587 $ 1,598,000  12/05/19

Total Funds Authorized $ 1,598,000
Remaining Funds Available  (End of year balance if all listed projects were fully paid) $ 4,309,000

* To be presented at Board Meeting
### Projects Contracted/Bonds Closed This FY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Draper Irrigation Company</td>
<td>RE447</td>
<td>$1,402,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Muddy Creek Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RE436</td>
<td>$850,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Peoa South Bench Canal &amp; Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RE417</td>
<td>$265,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Funds Contracted/Closed: $2,518,000

Funds Balance: $96,110,000

### Projects with Funds Committed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Consolidated Sevier Bridge Reservoir Co</td>
<td>RC023</td>
<td>$1,900,000</td>
<td>01/27/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Midway Irrigation Company</td>
<td>RE438</td>
<td>$490,000</td>
<td>07/07/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Willow Creek Irrigation Company</td>
<td>RE444</td>
<td>$227,750</td>
<td>12/07/21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Funds Committed: $2,618,000

Funds Balance: $93,492,000

### Projects Authorized

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Box Elder Cnty &amp; Perry City Flood Control Dist</td>
<td>RE369</td>
<td>$660,000</td>
<td>06/18/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Draper Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RE450</td>
<td>$18,700,000</td>
<td>09/16/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Henefer Town</td>
<td>RE431</td>
<td>$1,496,000</td>
<td>01/23/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Provo City</td>
<td>RE441</td>
<td>$36,750,000</td>
<td>03/23/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Provo River Water Users Association</td>
<td>RE446</td>
<td>65,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Settlement Canyon Irrigation Co (Phase 2)</td>
<td>RE240R2</td>
<td>552,500</td>
<td>10/02/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Summit Creek Irrigation and Canal Co (Phase 4)</td>
<td>RE308</td>
<td>$1,198,000</td>
<td>03/14/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Veyo Culinary Water Association</td>
<td>RE445</td>
<td>969,000</td>
<td>03/17/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Weber Basin WCD (Phase 5+)</td>
<td>RE225R5</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
<td>08/10/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Weber-Box Elder Cons Dist</td>
<td>RE400</td>
<td>1,687,000</td>
<td>08/10/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Woodruff Irrigating Co</td>
<td>RE365</td>
<td>3,200,000</td>
<td>03/18/15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Funds Authorized: $137,213,000

Remaining Funds Available: $43,721,000 (End of year balance if all listed projects were fully paid)

* To be presented at Board Meeting

** Dam Safety Projects
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects Contracted This FY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Funds Contracted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 2,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects with Funds Committed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Lindon City RE452 $1,205,000 10/28/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Funds Committed         $1,205,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds Balance                 $795,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* To be presented at Board Meeting
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Est. Board Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of South Salt Lake</td>
<td>RE458</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>8,500,000</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>08/09/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coyote &amp; East Fork Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RE411</td>
<td>RCF</td>
<td>722,500</td>
<td>1,700,000</td>
<td>05/10/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Town</td>
<td>RL580</td>
<td>CWL</td>
<td>1,505,000</td>
<td>2,021,000</td>
<td>05/10/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferron Canal &amp; Reservoir Co</td>
<td>RE320</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>2,720,000</td>
<td>3,200,000</td>
<td>06/01/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glendale Irrigation Co</td>
<td>RE408</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>1,109,000</td>
<td>1,305,000</td>
<td>02/08/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenwood Town (NRCS Dam Safety Grant)</td>
<td>RC056</td>
<td>RCF</td>
<td>969,000</td>
<td>3,568,000</td>
<td>05/10/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper Irrigation Co (Press Irr, Ph 3+)</td>
<td>RE060R3</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>11,033,000</td>
<td>12,980,000</td>
<td>01/25/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kane County WCD</td>
<td>RD828</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>04/09/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanpete WCD (Narrows Dam)</td>
<td>RD377</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>29,325,000</td>
<td>34,500,000</td>
<td>04/07/83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uintah WCD</td>
<td>RE455</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>11,224,000</td>
<td>21,030,000</td>
<td>01/14/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uintah WCD</td>
<td>RE316</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>36,550,000</td>
<td>43,000,000</td>
<td>10/10/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber Basin WCD (Phase 5+)</td>
<td>RE225R5</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
<td>8,000,000</td>
<td>08/10/07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weber Basin WCD</td>
<td>RE312</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>85,000,000</td>
<td>100,000,000</td>
<td>01/09/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellsville-Mendon Conservation District</td>
<td>RE364</td>
<td>C&amp;D</td>
<td>680,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>03/18/15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal                                      |      |      | $189,338,000    | $236,104,000|

* New Application
Applicant: Consolidated Sevier Bridge Reservoir Co.

Project Number: RC023
Fund: Revolving Construction and Conservation and Development Funds
Phase 2 Total Cost: $19,000,000

Board Meeting Date: 5/5/2022
Phase 1 Committal: 12/20/2002

Board Member: Blaine Ipson
Project Manager: Tom Cox

Project Summary: The purpose of the project is to complete the state minimum dam safety standards upgrade on Sevier Bridge Dam. Work will include removing and replacing the existing spillway structure and gates, improving the downstream foundation materials, adding a stability berm and installing toe drains.

Recommendation: Staff recommends the board commit 90% of the current cost, up to $17,100,000, as a dam safety grant and 10% of the project cost, up to $1,900,000, as a loan from the C&D fund to be returned at 0.1% interest over 15 years with annual payments of approximately $127,700.

Project Contacts:

President: Andy Nickle
Secretary: Amie Johnson
Engineer: Bowen Collins & Associates
800 West 100 North
800 West 100 North
154 East 14075 South
Delta, UT 84624
Delta, UT 84624
Draper, UT 84020
435-864-7423
435-864-2494
801-495-2224
Location
The proposed project is located approximately 30 miles east of Delta in Juab County.

Project Summary
Sevier Bridge Dam is owned and operated by the Consolidated Sevier Bridge Reservoir Company (made up of the Delta, Melville, Abraham, and Deseret irrigation companies and the Central Utah Water Company) and was constructed in 1908, and enlarged to its current configuration in 1916. It is 92 feet high, 1,340 feet long and has a storage capacity of approximately 236,000 acre-feet. Each of the companies is entitled to a different amount of reservoir water and pays for a proportionate share of its upkeep.

Phase I of a dam safety upgrade project was completed in 2004, costing nearly $3.5 million, with the Board providing a dam safety grant for 95% of that cost. The applicant covered its entire 5% cost share (over $126,000) with its own funds. The project included outlet improvements, installing new control gates and addressing upstream stability issues. Due to cost, downstream stability and seepage issues as well as an undersized spillway were not addressed at that time.

The proposed Phase II upgrade project includes removing and replacing the existing spillway structure and gates, improving the downstream foundation materials, adding a stability berm and installing toe drains. It is anticipated that bids will be opened in mid-June with construction starting in June or July of this year and finishing by November 2023.

Cost Estimate & Sharing
The total Phase II and proposed cost sharing based on available grant funds is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Total Phase II Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Available Grant Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Water Resources Grant</td>
<td>$20,925,000</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>$17,100,000</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Water Resources Loan</td>
<td>2,325,000</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1,900,000</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$23,250,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$19,000,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The board currently has only $17.1 million in grant funds available for the project, so that is all that can be committed at this time. Funds are needed now to pay for engineering design and pre-payments on the spillway gates.

Staff recommends the board commit 90% of the current cost, up to $17,100,000, as a dam safety grant and 10% of the project cost, up to $1,900,000, as a loan from the C&D fund to be returned at 0.1% interest over 15 years with annual payments of approximately $127,700.

Once bids have been opened, project funding can be re-evaluated. At that time it can be decided whether it is necessary to delay the earthwork part of the project until grant money is available, or proceed with the full project utilizing additional loan funds which will be paid by future dam safety grants.

Company officials indicate the reservoir provides water to approximately 56,000 acres. The cost of the proposed annual payment per acre therefore, is estimated to be $2.28, which is consistent with
the payment associated with other dam safety loans.

It should be noted that minimum standards upgrade work was recently completed on DMAD Dam. Four of the five companies involved with Sevier Bridge Dam are also part of DMAD Company, and will be paying off the approximately $850,000 in loan funding obtained from the board for that project over the next ten years.
Applicant: Provo River Water Users Association

Project Number: RE446
Fund: Conservation and Development Fund
Cost Estimate: $100,000,000

Application Received: 2/26/2021
Authorized: 6/17/2021
Board Meeting Date: 5/5/2022

Board Member: Wayne Andersen
Project Manager: Tom Cox

Project Summary: The purpose of the project is to modify the Deer Creek Dam intake structure and rehabilitate the existing guard gates without draining the reservoir. The project also includes constructing a permanent bypass intake and pipeline, and measures to address a potential quagga mussel infestation.

Recommendation: Staff recommends the board authorize 65% of the project cost, up to $65,000,000, and that the bonded indebtedness be returned at 1% interest over 35 years with annual payments of approximately $1,620,000 the first five years and about $2,420,000 the remaining 30 years (includes reserves).

Project Contacts:

President: Tom Godfrey
285 West 1100 North
Pleasant Grove, UT 84062
801-484-7556

Manager: G. Keith Denos
285 West 1100 North
Pleasant Grove, UT 84062
801-372-2866

Engineer: Deon Stockert, AE2S
3400 N. Ashton Blvd, Ste. 105,
Lehi, Ut 84043
701-690-3693
**Location**
The proposed project is located about 10 miles southwest of Heber City in Wasatch County.

**Introduction & Background**
In June of 2021 the board authorized 71.3% of the project cost, up to $42,800,000 to be returned at 1% over 35 years. A permanent bypass intake and pipeline has been added to the proposed project scope of work, and the cost estimate has increased from $60,000,000 to $100,000,000. The applicant estimates 70% of its water is utilized as M&I and 30% in agriculture. Hydroelectric power is also generated by water passing through Deer Creek Dam.

In 2009, the board provided over $26 million to the association for a project to enclose the 21 mile-long Provo Reservoir Canal. The applicant had made their required payments and recently paid off the balance after refinancing existing debt on the open market for a more favorable interest rate.

**Existing Conditions & Problems**
Deer Creek Dam was completed in 1941 with a storage capacity of approximately 153,000 acre-feet. It is estimated that half of all Utahns use water that flows through the dam. After 80 years of use, the intake and control gates are aging and need refurbishment. There is no way to shut off the water at the intake structure; therefore, the outlet pipe between the intake and gate valves has never been inspected in the dry. Several years ago, quagga mussel DNA was found in the reservoir although no mussels were found. Potential infestation is a concern that needs to be addressed.

**Proposed Project**
The proposed project will include modifying the intake structure and rehabilitating the existing guard gates, installing a bypass intake structure and pipeline, as well as adding measures to address quagga mussels. The new bypass will allow for multiple level intake for water quality purposes. Work will be accomplished in a way that will eliminate the need to drain the reservoir, and completing the bypass first will allow continual water deliveries. Construction is anticipated to begin in 2023 and may take up to three years to complete.

Because of the unique nature of the project, it is being designed and built using the Construction Manager/General Contractor (CM/GC) method in which the contractor works with the designer throughout the entire process. Both the designer, Advanced Engineering and Environmental Services (AE2S) of Lehi and contractor, Granite Construction, were chosen through a competitive selection process.

**Benefits**
The proposed project will allow Deer Creek Reservoir to be safely operated for years to come. The new bypass will enable the operator to manage the temperature, dissolved oxygen level and quality of the released water. The structure benefits municipal and industrial water treatment and the betterment of aquatic species habitat in the Provo River. Including measures to address quagga mussels will help keep that invasive species from establishing itself in the dam’s facilities.

**Cost Estimate**
The current cost estimate for the project is $100 million. Increases in material and construction costs, and the addition of the permanent bypass have resulted in a major jump in project cost.
Cost Sharing & Repayment
The recommended cost sharing and repayment are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Authorized Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Proposed Cost Sharing</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Water Resources</td>
<td>$42,800,000</td>
<td>71.3%</td>
<td>$65,000,000</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Utah WCD</td>
<td>10,200,000</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>17,000,000</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Funding (Loan or Grant)</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>7,000,000</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL $60,000,000** 100% | **$100,000,000** 100%

Central Utah Water Conservancy District, through the Deer Creek Reservoir/Jordanelle Reservoir operating agreement, is obligated to provide part of the cost to maintain Deer Creek Dam. The applicant is also looking into other federal and state funding sources.

Staff recommends the board authorize 65% of the project cost, up to $65,000,000, and that the bonded indebtedness be returned at 1% interest over 35 years with annual payments of approximately $1,620,000 the first five years and about $2,420,000 the remaining 30 years (includes reserves).

Annual payments increase $800,000 after an association debt is paid off in 2030.

**Economic Feasibility**
There is no viable option to the proposed project; therefore, a benefit/cost ratio of 1.0 has been assigned.

**Financial Feasibility**
With the wide-ranging entities located in Utah and Salt Lake counties that receive water from the association, and insignificant monetary benefit from the project, it is impossible to calculate a repayment based on the board’s traditional affordability guidelines. Typically, when a project has little monetary benefit, a lower interest rate and an extended repayment period is warranted.

The most recent assessment to the association’s 100,000 shares was $28.61 which included amounts for operation and maintenance, and capital improvements. The proposed annual payment would equal an increase of approximately $10.78 per share.

Annual increases are anticipated in order to cover the new financing as well as costs of O&M and future projects. Shareholders tied to the Provo River Aqueduct pay an additional assessment to pay for the refinanced bond on that project.

**Water Rights & Supply**
Water for the Provo River Project is primarily obtained from the Duchesne, Weber and Provo rivers. Water rights are in the name of the Bureau of Reclamation and include the following:
### Water Rights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Right Number</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Flow / Volume (cfs / af)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>55-262</td>
<td>Provo River</td>
<td>17,410 af</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-295</td>
<td>Provo River</td>
<td>100,000 af</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-7060</td>
<td>Provo River</td>
<td>7.9 cfs/2,900 af</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-7061</td>
<td>Provo River</td>
<td>1.43 cfs/500 af</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-8737</td>
<td>Weber River</td>
<td>1,000 cfs/136,500 af</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-8756</td>
<td>Weber River</td>
<td>1,000 cfs/37,200 af</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43-341</td>
<td>Duchesne River</td>
<td>550 cfs/49,500 af</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43-343</td>
<td>Duchesne River</td>
<td>50 cfs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43-344</td>
<td>Duchesne River</td>
<td>21 cfs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Easements

No new easements are required for the proposed project.

### Environmental

The proposed project will replace existing infrastructure, therefore, no long-term environmental impacts are foreseen. The project will allow the association to better manage the temperature and dissolved oxygen of the water released into the Provo River to the benefit of aquatic species, and provide for the treatment of invasive quagga mussels.

### Applicant’s Responsibilities

The applicant will be required to make all arrangements to sell the board a non-voted revenue bond, as well as verify it has adequate water rights and rights-of-way to construct the project. If the project is authorized, a full list of requirements and procedures necessary to close the bond will be furnished to the applicant.
Applicant: Centerfield City

Project Number: RE448
Fund: Conservation and Development Fund

Application Received: 5/27/2021
Board Meeting Date: 5/5/2022

Project Manager: Jaqueline Pacheco

Project Contact:
City Recorder:
Lacey Belnap
130 S. Main
Centerfield, UT 84622
435-528-3296

Location
The proposed project is located in Centerfield City in Sanpete County.

Summary
In May 2021 the applicant requested financial assistance from the board to install secondary and irrigation meters within city limits. The applicant has decided not to pursue the project, as there is no support from the majority of the residents.

Staff therefore recommends the project be withdrawn from further consideration by the board.
Applicant: Salem City

Project Number: RE451
Fund: Conservation and Development Fund

Application Received: 8/19/2021
Board Meeting Date: 05/5/2022

Project Manager: Ben Marett

Project Contact:
Mayor: Kurt Christensen
30 W. 100 S.
Salem, UT 84653
801-423-2770

Location
The proposed project is located in Salem City in Utah County.

Summary
In August 2021 the applicant requested financial assistance from the board to construct a storm drain system and outfalls, as well as to pipe the Salem Canal. The applicant was planning to work in conjunction with the Central Utah Water Conservancy District (CUWCD) as CUWCD constructed another project in this area. CUWCD agreed to pay for many of the surface repair costs which resulted in significant cost reduction for the applicant.

As the two projects developed, CUWCD offered the applicant a five year interest-free loan for their construction costs. The applicant will be taking advantage of this offer and has decided not to utilize funds from the Board of Water Resources.

Staff therefore recommends the project be withdrawn from further consideration by the board.
Applicant: Monroe City

Project Number: RL584
Fund: Cities Water Loan Fund

Application Received: 1/14/2019
Authorized: 3/20/2019
Board Meeting Date: 5/5/2022

Project Manager: Ben Marett

Project Contact:
Mayor:
Johnny Parsons
10 N. Main St.
Monroe, UT 84754
435-527-4621

Location
The proposed project is located in Monroe City in Sevier County.

Summary
In January 2019 the applicant requested financial assistance from the board to replace culinary water meters throughout the city. The applicant provides water to both residential and agricultural users. As part of the Board’s bonding process, the applicant was required to implement rules regarding outdoor water use. Due to the mixed use of its system, the applicant was unable to get support from its users and has decided not to move forward with the project.

Staff therefore recommends the project be withdrawn from further consideration by the board.
Applicant: City of South Salt Lake

Project Number: RE458
Fund: Conservation and Development Fund
Cost Estimate: $10,000,000

Application Received: 4/8/2022
Board Meeting Date: 5/5/2022

Board Member: Juliette Tennert
Project Manager: Jaqueline Pacheco

Project Contacts:
Mayor: Cherie Wood
220 E. Morris Ave Ste 200
Salt Lake City, UT 84115
801-464-6767

Finance Director: Crystal Makin
220 E. Morris Ave Ste 200
Salt Lake City, UT 84115
801-412-3226

Engineer: Bill Bigelow
Hansen, Allen & Luce, Inc.
859 S. Jordan Pkwy Ste 200
South Jordan, UT 84095
801-566-5599

Location
The proposed project is located in South Salt Lake in Salt Lake County.

Proposed Project
The applicant is requesting financial assistance from the board to drill a new well with pump station, construct an iron/manganese treatment plant, and replace cast iron pipe.

Water Rights
- 57-1057
- 57-1058
- 57-3157
- 57-4261
- 57-8037
- 57-8683
- 57-8684
Transparent Water Billing Grants Update
May 5, 2022

Background
In its September 2021 meeting, the Board of Water Resources pre-approved grant funds for Transparent Water Billing Projects throughout the State to increase water conservation. The Board is providing up to 85% of project costs for each project, and has $2,000,000 from the C&D Restricted Fund for these grants.

Progress Report

- Online application is open and we are accepting applications
- Information page created on our website
- Getting the word out at
  - Conferences
  - Through partners at conservation districts
  - Information sent to League of City and Towns
  - Service providers contacting entities directly
- Five applications have been approved for grants
  - Syracuse City
  - Clinton City
  - WestPoint City
    - Davis and Weber Canal Company
  - Grand County Water and Sewer
  - Ivins City
- Contracts have been completed for four of these and Ivins is in process
- Grants total $341,280

Continuing Efforts

- Facilitate the application process and provide feedback
- Track progress of existing grants
- Create opportunities for letting entities know grants are available

Presented by Josh Zimmerman, Water Conservation Coordinator
Bear River Development Planning Report  
May 5, 2022  
Corridor Preservation/Right-of-Way Acquisition Update

Two properties have been purchased since the update in September 2021.

- **Parcel #1025**
  - Tax ID #01-140-0223
  - Agricultural
  - Original lot size
    - 9.74 acres
  - Purchase type
    - Partial – strip
    - 0.42 acre
  - Appraised value and Purchase price
    - $17,900
  - Closing Date
    - 2/4/2022

- **Parcel #1019**
  - Tax ID #01-141-0052
  - Residential
  - Lot size
    - 0.46 acres
  - House size
    - 4,676 square feet/3,488 square feet (living area)
  - Purchase type
    - Entire parcel
  - Appraised value and Purchase price
    - $700,000
  - Closing Date
    - 3/15/2022

Total Committed: $5,000,000  
Total Spent to Date: $3,358,478

Presented by Marisa Egbert, Bear River Planning Manager
Lake Powell Pipeline Update
May 5, 2022

- Bureau of Reclamation working on Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS)
- Colorado River modeling and evaluation
- Continued efforts on public education and outreach
- Waiting for State Engineer’s decision on water rights change application
- Monitoring potential federal administration changes
  - Waters of the United States (WOTUS)
  - Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument (GSENM) boundaries
- Ongoing coordination with Basin States
- Additional permitting efforts to be completed
  - Bureau of Reclamation Section 14 Agreement
  - Bureau of Land Management preparing Historic Properties Treatment Plan
  - Army Corps of Engineers 404 Permits and Utah 401 Certification
  - Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Opinion
- Project Timeline
  - Supplemental Draft EIS
  - Final EIS
  - Record of Decision
  - Final Design and Financing Plan
  - Construction
- Contracts Update
  - Stantec Contract
  - Bureau of Reclamation Task Order
  - Bureau of Land Management Cost Recovery Agreement

Presented by Eric Dixon, Senior Engineer
CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS called the meeting to order at 1:30 pm. DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER announced those present.
CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS Take a look at the 75th anniversary booklet that has been handed out. This is celebrating the Board of Water Resources and the Water Resources Division 75th year. It is an honor for me to be serving on the board at this time. I grew up in Summit County and now live in Davis County. I have always thought and appreciated our ancestors. In Summit County, there are Echo Reservoir, East Canyon Reservoir, Morgan County Loss Creek Reservoir, Rockport, Smith Moorehouse, and Weber County you have Pineview and Willard. I shudder to think what the population would be like if it had not been the foresight of those individuals who developed those water resources that many years ago. That we as a population have an opportunity to enjoy at this time. So as we celebrate the accomplishment and all the things that have taken place the past 75 years as we look to the next 75 years we wonder what those opportunity will be like and the water resources how they will be developed and how they will be conserved for future generations.

CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS any changes to the minutes?
CHARLES HOLMGREN It needs to be noted I was virtual and on the first page of the Board Meeting Minutes Wayne Andersen is listed as Wayne Holmgren. Needs to be fixed.
JAMES LEMMON I need to be added to the Board Members Present on page iii my name was left off.

CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS we will have a snow report and Jordan Clayton will be here to give us an update.

PROJECT ITEMS/SPECIAL ITEMS

RE441 Provo City (Re-Authorization)
TOM COX gave an overview of the project that he will cover in the Board minute. When the project was originally brought up before the board it was in October 2020, it included only ASR project components. Provo has reconsidered and decided to proceed with constructing a 20 MGD culinary water treatment facility and to utilize more of its Provo River water rights. It will be broken up into 2 phases. The work on the Phase 1 on the river diversion is underway and its anticipated work on the ASR components will begin this summer. It is anticipated work on Phase 2 will begin the summer of 2023 and will take two years to complete. The cost estimate has jumped up to 96 million. Proposed to the board provide 36,750,000, and that the bonded indebtedness be returned at 1% interest over 30 years with total annual payments of approximately $1,479,000 including reserves.
What they will be asking from the board today is Pre-Authorization of the project with the enlarged amount.

RE456 Kanab Irrigation Company
TOM COX gave an overview of the proposed project. The purpose of the project is to drill and equip a new irrigation well near their existing well that is failing.
They are requesting from the board today for authorization and commit 85% of the project cost, up to $255,000, for that work and since it is such a small amount, it will be coming from their revolving construction fund at 0% interest giving them 15 years to pay it back.
BLAINE IPSOM asked a couple of questions about the arrangements of being payed back. Would we be contracted with the irrigation company or with the district? In addition, a service agreement how will this all work.
TOM COX we will contract with the Irrigation company, they are the owners of the water rights. And this is why we need a service agreement.
BLAINE IPSOM What will prevent the well from failing again. What’s going to prevent the new well from doing the same things as the old one.
TOM COX they are thinking of using plastic or stainless steel. It will be a different situation and will not deteriate that quickly.

UPDATE TO SECONDARY WATER METER FUNDING RULES

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS discussed secondary metering House Bill 242 in section 329 (A) and Financial Arrangements. Talked about the educational component. Marty and some of our staff decided their is a need to clarify some of the rules. R653-2-6 (5) secondary Water Metering Program: ii (a&b). We want these to be suggestions and not requirements. The iii we included sections 73-10-34 and 73-10-34.5 which will be the new section for the Secondary Metering Bill that is now statued. This bill needs immediately addressing. We wanted to get this before you today.
SHALAINDE BERNARDI this bill is for if they have a new project not a past project. No reimbursements will be given to a project done before this bill.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS the action we are requesting today would be to approve the drafted rules.
BLAIN IPSON asked who it would be available too. (The funding)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS the 250 million is available to any secondary water providers. Small or large in any county.
RANDY CROIZER 281 (3) (a) A secondary water supplier with 7,000 secondary water connections or less is eligible for a total grant amount under this section of up to $5 million. (b) A secondary water supplier with more than 7,000 is eligible for up to $10 million.
CHAIR KYLLE STEPHENS we will have more discussion during the Board meeting. Item will need a motion on it.
MARTY BUSHMAN the Bill is a statue so the Board does not vote on it. This has to be done before we have a contract.

WATER CONSERVATION PLAN UPDATE

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER Shelby Ericksen will be here for the Board Meeting to update our Water Conservation Plans.

LAKE POWELL PIPELINE UPDATE

ERIC DIXON we will go over everything at the Board Meeting most of it is a repeat.

DIRECTORS REPORT
DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER I want to highlight a few items of legislation. Cover a few things that maybe the board has not heard. Also, highlight some of the great work our team has done. Talk about the website and flyer. These will be the general things I will be covering at the Board meeting today. I want to introduce a new employee David Gunther our new GIS analyst. I will have Aaron Austin introduce him. Because of audio difficulties, we will have to introduce him next time. We are saying goodbye to some employees. Since our last Board Meeting we have had four people resign, Matt Call, Bob Wentzel, Lindsay Russell and Stephanie McGinnis. We have appreciated all that they have done for us and will miss them.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS gave an update on the Status of Funds. One thing I wanted to mention was the Secondary Metering money the 250 million dollars, 50 of it was already in the treasures account to use; the Governor signed the additional 200 million yesterday. So the money is now available. We will add a page to the Status of Funds to track it separately. Expires the end of 2026.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS discussed several Bills.
HSB33 change to benefit flow changes like The Great Salt Lake.
HSB121 Government facilities. No more than 20% of the grounds can be lawn. They must reduce their outside water use by 5% by 2023 and 25% by 2026. This Bill also provided the division with 5 Million dollars to use as incentives for homeowners or business owners for water wise landscaping.
HSB429
HSB168 Water right amendments.
HSB232 Utah Lake Bill
HSB240 Utah Lake amendments
HSB242 Secondary water metering website is up can follow up on application April 1st. 45 day period. Targeting the August Board meeting to review the applications and make funding decisions on them.

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER reminded everyone on the board to complete the open public meeting training.

CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS moved to adjourn RANDY CROZIER motioned and JIM LEMMON seconded. All approved at 2:47pm.
The Utah Board of Water Resources meetings are regularly streamed live and are recorded so citizens can watch them later. Please use the following link to access the most recent recordings: https://goo.gl.UfyPQn
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SUMMARY OF BOARD ACTIONS

March 23, 2022

1. PAGE 1: The minutes from the January 27, 2022 meeting were approved. JIM LEMMON moved to approve the minutes and RANDY CROZIER seconded the motion. After a roll call vote, all approved and the minutes were approved.

2. PAGE 1: RE441-PROVO CITY (Re-Authorization)- the Board authorized 38.3% of the project cost up to $36,750,000 and that the bonded indebtedness be returned at 1% interest over 30 years with total annual payments of approximately $1,479,000 (including reserves), RANDY CROIZER seconded the motion. All voted in favor and the motion passed.

3. PAGE 2: RE456-Kanab Irrigation Company- JIM LEMMON moved to authorize and commit 85% of the project cost, up to $255,000, and that the project be purchased at 0% interest over 15 years with annual payments of approximately $17,000 the cost of the project, WAYNE ANDERSON seconded the motion. All voted in favor and the motion passed.

4. PAGE 3: Update to Secondary Meter Funding Rules- CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS requiring a motion to approve the language changed, and the additional wording we are adding and removing changes. RANDY CROZIER moved to adopt and approve the changes and JIM LEMMON seconded. After a roll call vote, all approved and the motion passed.

5. PAGE 6: Adjournment- RANDY CROIZER Moved to adjourn and JAMES LEMMON Seconded.
Meeting adjourned at 4:35pm
CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS called the meeting to order at 3:00 pm and announced the Board members present.
DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER announced staff present as well as others present.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES
JIM LEMMON moved to approve the minutes and RANDY CROZIER seconded the motion. After a roll call vote, all approved and the minutes were approved.

NRCS SNOW REPORT
JORDAN CLAYTON provided an update on the snow report.

SPECIAL ITEMS

RE441 Provo City (Re-Authorization)

TOM COX Provo City; has a population of approximately 126,000, provides culinary water from its springs and wells and some water from the Central Utah Water Conservancy District. Because of the growth, the city has had to develop and produce more culinary water. The city has no secondary irrigation system or their own water treatment plant. To accommodate the increase of water demand the City has primarily obtained water from pumping existing wells, which has drawn down their static water level. The City owns numerous water rights to springs, creeks, wells and the Provo River that they underutilize. The city is considering several options, including ASR projects its Provo River water rights, ASR projects and water treatment facilities. The project will be done in 2 Phases. Phase 1 will include the diversion improvements, the raw water pipeline and the ASR parts of the projects. Phase 1 will be under construction this summer. Phase 2, which will be the water treatment plant and installation of the
culinary pipeline will begin summer of 2023 and will take two years to complete. Staff recommends the board authorize 38.3% of the project cost, up to $36,750,000, and that the bonded indebtedness be returned at 1% interest over 30 years with total annual payments of approximately $1,479,000 (including reserves).

DAVE DECKER thanked and expressed his appreciation to the Board. He would be happy to answer anyone’s questions about the project. He anticipates this will be a very significant step for Provo.

CHARLES HOLMGREN asked under Provo City’s ASR I see its surface infiltration but you also discuss some injection, the Provo River water would have to be an infiltration area and not an injection because of the quality of water. Or can it be injected?
TOM COX it will be treated water so it would be quality and it could be injected. They would need different agreements with Division of Drinking Water if they decided to do that. Other requirements would need to be taken care of.
CHARLES HOLMGREN the ARPA funds you are using, are they funds the city got hold of ARPA or are you getting additional funds?
DAVE DECKER the city does have some ARPA funds that came directly to the city that we have recommended to our city council to utilize for this project. That is the recommendation and moving forward in the budget, which will be approved in June. We are hoping the city council will follow that recommendation. We do anticipate that will be the case. We have made applications for other ARPA funds through Utah County. And several other federal grants we are still awaiting a response.

SHALAINE DEBERNARDI there are no public comments for this project.
CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS asked for motion
WAYNE ANDERSON I move that the Board authorize 38.3% of the project cost up to $36,750,000 and that the bonded indebtedness be returned at 1% interest over 30 years with total annual payments of approximately $1,479,000 (including reserves), RANDY CROIZER seconded the motion. All voted in favor and the motion passed.

RE456 Kanab Irrigation Company (Kane County)
Norris Brown (President) Mike Noel (Kane Co WCD manger) Anson Clarkson (consultant) Ben Clarkson (Chairman) Dirk Clayson (member)

TOM COX Kanab Irrigation Company delivers irrigation water to approximately 800 acres of agriculture land and around 200 residences. They get their primary water supply from direct diversion from Kanab Creek and in 2003; they drilled a well to provide supplemental water. With the help from the Boards funding in 1983 the irrigation Company installed a pressurized irrigation system that runs from their water sources down through their service area. Several years ago, the Kane County Water Conservancy District constructed Jackson Flat Reservoir; any water that is not immediately used is stored in the reservoir and pumped back into the irrigation system when needed. The Irrigation Company has received funding two other times. In 2006, the Board helped fund for equipping the well and some other pipeline work and in 2014, there was some additional workup by their diversion and sluice structure.
The pressurized irrigation system project has been repaid and a couple of years left on payments on the other two projects. When the irrigation well was first drilled, it could produce over 600 gallons per minute. The last several years the production has dropped off significantly. The well casing has rusted through in places causing problems. The well bowls were replaced, and production increased for a time but has since fallen again. They also recently replaced the motor on the pump. Since this well is such an important part of their water system, the irrigation company is coming before the Board for authorization and committal of funds to replace the well. The cost estimate of the project is $300,000. Staff recommends the board authorize and commit 85% of the project cost, up to $255,000, and that the project be purchased at 0% interest over 15 years with annual payments of approximately $17,000. The water district has agreed to make the annual payments on the project. They have executed a service agreement between the district and the water company.

CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS introduced representatives from Kanab Irrigation Company and Kane County Water Conservancy district.
NORRIS BROWN expressed his appreciation.
MIKE NOEL explained how important the well is to them.
CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS asked the question if the service agreement has been signed.
MIKE NOEL yes it has been signed. We are in compliance with everything we need to do.

RANDY STAKER there were no public comments
JIM LEMMON moved to authorize and commit 85% of the project cost, up to $255,000, and that the project be purchased at 0% interest over 15 years with annual payments of approximately $17,000 the cost of the project, WAYNE ANDERSON seconded the motion. All voted in favor and the motion passed.

UPDATE TO SECONDARY METER FUNDING RULES:

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS House Bill 242 passed and was signed by the Governor. This is the statue of how we will govern to proceed with the secondary meters. As we reviewed that, we wanted to see if there were areas we needed to make rules to be able to implement this program. One of the sections is the educational component of the billing statement that secondary providers would send out. This is one of the areas we need to clarify. This a proposed change that we would recommend. One thing we want to include is to promote water conservation and provide information on the customer’s secondary water usage statement. We also included some recommendations that they could also use. The educational component required in the monthly water user statement or customer specific internet portal: (a) must promote water conservation and provide information on the customer’s secondary water; and (b) may include water use comparisons with previous months, comparisons with other customers water use on similar sized lots, recommended water use for lot size, comparisons between actual use and recommended use, suggestions for reducing secondary water us, etc. We hope this will help us to proceed getting these grants going. The staff’s recommendation would be to approve the changes to the secondary metering rules.
RICK SMITH on (5) (a) the Board will only allocate up to $10 million dollars in loans, one of my concerns is under the circumstances with current grant programs and all this money coming in that $10 million dollars will be used up quickly. So are you allowed to restrict the amount of loans or provide more additional loans more than $10 million? After three or four of us using that money it will be gone fast. Just my input on that.

CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS we did discuss that in the earlier briefing meeting.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS this is referring to a previous part of the statute that allowed the board to do loans for up to $10 million dollars. Secondary meters falls within your conservation fund. You have the authority to do that. Yes you can go above the $10 million.

RICK SMITH (5) (g) the applicant will be required to mandate that all new developments within their service area install secondary water meters. I believe that is already a state law. I don’t think this should be in this rule. Secondly, we are a private entity so we cannot mandate. (5) (iv) The applicant will be required to report to the Division of Water Rights annual water usage. Which we have already been doing that the last couple of years already. And savings data gathered through the new-metered secondary water system. For me that is kind of the catch; the savings data gathered? We will collect data and information but right now, there is not even a baseline. So how do we determine what we have actually saved? Most of our systems already have partial metering so how do I tie that back with the new metering grant projects and total savings. It’s hard to define a savings based on this. I also want to clarify for those who have installed secondary meters to date we spent close to 1.6 million dollars already. I want some clarification; there are two different ways of how we can be reimbursed. In the legislation it talks about prior to 2022 and does it impact our cap of 10 million? Is it above or part of that 10 million reimbursement and grant?

CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS going back to the 10 million dollar cap, is their some verbiage that can be made because this is proposed rule?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS that is part of the existing rule but I think the statue that governs the boards C&D fund supersedes this. Which allows using it for secondary meters. You can exceed the 10 million.

SHALAINE DEBERNARDI this goes back to the original when the secondary metering law was started years back. The Board adopted a guideline to provide money for secondary meters at 1%. You adopted a new guideline as part of your C&D fund guidelines regarding secondary meters. You could provide up to 10 million dollars a year, 1% with a repayment term of 5 years less than the warranty on the meters. At that time when the law was written we came before the Board, we asked if you wanted it limited to 10 million. And the Board said no, and said if we have the funds and we want to fund secondary water meters well do as much as we can. The Boards C&D funds allow them some leeway.

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER as we update the rules we could remove that 10 million cap, to be more consistent with the statue in the C&D fund.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS we could delete (a), (g) and the very last line in (b) (4) delete and savings data. The wording that would be struck out is “and savings”. (5) (a) crossing out “up to $10 million in” and adding “as funds are available”. In (5) (g) cross out “be” change required to require cross out “to mandate” add “the and”.
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CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS requiring a motion to approve the language changed, and the additional wording we are adding and removing.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS this is a rule that is in effect but will be changed to clarify that educational opponent. It will be different after these changes. It will still need to go through the rule making process; we are just getting the Boards approval before we send it through the process. Which is its own public process.

CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS do we have a motion regarding these changes and moving forward.

RANDY CROZIER moved to adopt and approve the changes and JIM LEMMON seconded. After a roll call vote, all approved and the motion passed.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOEL WILLIAMS and SHALAINE DEBERNARDI explained and clarified in the Bill, line 274.

WATER CONSERVATION PLANS-REVIEW PROCESS AND COMPLIANCE UPDATE

SHELBY ERICKSEN gave an update and explained the background. Water Conservation plans are required to be updated every five years for water systems with more than 500 connections and water conservancy districts. SB 89 was in this year’s legislative session it proposed some changes. Governor Cox signed it off on Monday. We ask for a draft Plan by July 15 and finalized plans are required by December 31. We have 39 plans on the list for 2021. Right now we have 6 who have not submitted drafts, 1 is on the way and 5 are still in progress. Water Conservation Plans are now on our website.

LAKE POWELL PIPELINE UPDATE

ERIC DIXON gave an overview and talked about how things are moving slow and steady. Bureau of Reclamation is still working the Supplemental Draft. We are doing a Colorado River Modeling and evaluation. There are a few federal administration changes we are keeping our eyes on. “Waters of the United States” and “Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument”. As items come out, we see will how that affects Lake Powell Pipeline. We are constantly continuing our efforts on public education and outreach. We are still waiting for State Engineers decision on water rights change application. Marty Bushman is heading up the ongoing coordination with the Basin States. Additional permitting efforts are being complete. We are moving forward with the plan but the project time line has no date set.

He gave us and update on the Stantec Contract, Bureau of Reclamation Task force and BLM’s cost recovery agreement.
DIRECTOR’S REPORT

DIRECTOR CANDICE HASENYAGER yesterday was World Water Day and the theme was Ground Water, “making the visible, visible”, I think Provo City is very thankful for the Boards support. I want to thank our team that worked on the 75th document. I would like to highlight four bills.

SB 89 water amendments, which modifies the water conservation, plan Act.

HB 269 capital assets for all water. The board will have to adopt the rules that are in that plan.

SB11 Removing a Water Resource board member and the Water Quality member. It may take effect July 1, 2022.

SB221 Water related sales tax and use.

Highlighting on a few things. Colorado River it is a dire situation. Lake Powell did drop below 3525 (feet elevation). There have been many efforts to help keep it up above or around that number.

CHAIR KYLE STEPHENS, we appreciate the work of the division staff. We know with the legislative session going on It’s been a challenging one. We need to work together and make a difference.

RANDY CROIZER Moved to adjourn and JAMES LEMMON Seconded. Meeting adjourned at 4:35pm.