

Comment #	Original Comment	Original UDWRc Response	BLM Comment Disposition	UDWRc Response
BLM General 29	<p>This analysis is inaccurate. When considering effects to vegetation, we do not analyze landscaping/ornamental vegetation. In reality, the No Lake Powell Water Alternative would be beneficial to vegetation. Thus, please rewrite this section as follows:</p> <p>The No Lake Powell Water Alternative would have minor long-term cumulative effects on native vegetation communities in the St. George metropolitan area when combined with the St. George Water Reuse Project. Native vegetation would replace anthropogenic landscapes over time, except where residential areas would be served by secondary water for outdoor irrigation. As a result, native vegetation communities would increase in extent of distribution. Cumulative effects on native vegetation would therefore be long-term and beneficial.</p>			<p>The section is written per BLM's comment. The paragraph in Section 5.3.8.4.4, Chapter 5, Exhibit E of the License Application is revised to read: The No Lake Powell Water Alternative would have minor long-term cumulative effects on native vegetation communities in the St. George metropolitan area when combined with the St. George Water Reuse Project. Native vegetation would replace anthropogenic landscapes over time, except where residential areas would be served by secondary water for outdoor irrigation. As a result, native vegetation communities would increase in extent of distribution. Cumulative effects on native vegetation would therefore be long-term and beneficial.</p>
BLM 418	<p>Preliminary Licensing. Alliances and associated names are very confusing and not straight forward. Please just use the vegetative common & scientific names or ecological site names</p>	<p>The document was organized and written in accordance with FERC guidance.</p>	<p>Comment not adequately addressed. Please rewrite as suggested/requested in original BLM Comment 797.</p>	<p>Section 5.3.8 Vegetation Resources in Chapter 5, Exhibit E of the License Application, which has been filed with FERC since BLM reviewed the PLP and which replaces the PLP in the FERC ILP process, was updated from the descriptions of ecological systems presented in the PLP. Descriptions of each ecological system in Section 5.3.8 Vegetation Resources in Chapter 5, Exhibit E of the License Application include species names of plants (in the Physiognomy and Composition sub-section) documented to occur within the pipeline and penstock alignment study area corridors. The vegetation data presented in the License Application, including alliances and associations, are consistent with the U.S. National Vegetation Classification (USNVC), for which BLM is listed as a partner involved in the implementation of the USNVC. Additional information on vegetation alliances and associations for each ecological system are provided in Final Study Report 15 Vegetation Communities.</p>